





of  $k$ -tridiagonal Toeplitz matrices. We will reshape the main results of [9] in terms of the existing literature.

## 2. The known results

In [9], Küçük and Düz considered the matrix

$$T_n^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2x & & & i & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & 2x & & & \\ \hline & i & & 2x & & \\ & & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 2x & i \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & i & & \\ & & & & \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2x & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & 2x & & & \\ \hline & & & & 2x & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & 2x \end{array} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \end{array} \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n},$$

and proposed several conjectures for its permanent. Recall that the permanent of a square matrix equals the sum of the weights of all cycle-covers of its underlying directed graph. Since the undirected graph of  $T_n^{(k)}$  is always acyclic, i.e., cycle-free, the permanent of  $T_n^{(k)}$  is the permanent of the matrix

$$\bar{T}_n^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2x & & & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & 2x & & & \\ \hline & -1 & & 2x & & \\ & & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 2x & 1 \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & -1 & & \\ & & & & \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2x & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & 2x & & & \\ \hline & & & & 2x & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & 2x \end{array} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \end{array} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix  $\bar{T}_n^{(k)}$  is convertible (cf. [10]) in the sense that changing the signs of the subdiagonal of  $-1$ 's we get a matrix whose determinant equals the permanent of  $\bar{T}_n^{(k)}$ , i.e.,

$$\text{per } T_n^{(k)} = \det \tilde{A}_{n,k},$$

where the new matrix, say  $\tilde{A}_{n,k}$ , is  $A_{n,k}$  with  $a = b = 0$ ,  $c = 1$ , and  $v = 2x$ . This means, for example, that the permanent of  $T_n^{(1)}$  is

$$\det \tilde{A}_{n,1} = U_n(x), \quad (4)$$

which is precisely Theorem 1 in [9]. More generally, we have

$$\det \tilde{A}_{n,1} = U_k(x)U_\ell(x) - U_{k-1}(x)U_{\ell-1}(x), \quad (5)$$

when  $k + \ell = n$ .

A sum decomposition for  $\det \tilde{A}_{n,2}$  is also known. From (1) we have

$$\det \tilde{A}_{n,2} = U_{q+1}^r(x)U_q^{2-r}(x), \quad (6)$$

where  $n = 2q + r$ , with  $0 \leq r < 2$ . Then, depending on the parity of  $n$ , we combine 22.12.4 or 22.12.5 with 22.7.25 in [11] to readily obtain

$$\det \tilde{A}_{n,2} = \sum_{\ell=0}^q U_{n-2\ell}(x).$$

This result is [9, Theorem 2]. However, the proof presented in [9] is long and inaccurate.

Of course, from (6),  $\det \tilde{A}_{n-2,2} = U_q^r(x)U_{q-1}^{2-r}(x)$ . Therefore, one gets for  $n > 2$ ,

$$\det \tilde{A}_{n,2} - \det \tilde{A}_{n-2,2} = \begin{cases} U_q^2(x) - U_{q-1}^2(x), & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ U_{q+1}(x)U_q(x) - U_q(x)U_{q-1}(x), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In any case, from (5) and (4), we always get  $U_n(x)$ . This is the result one can find in [9, Theorem 3].

### 3. The conjectures

It results from the discussion above, that [9, Conjecture 4] cannot be posed. In fact, one should look at (1), (6), and the remainder of the division  $n$  by 3. However, the aforementioned conjecture is posed in terms of the parity of the matrix orders. On the other hand, the imposition of the condition (n symbol 6) is useless.

The next conjecture is [9, Conjecture 8].

**Conjecture 1** *If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ , with  $n \geq k + 1$ , then*

$$\det \tilde{A}_{n,k} = 2x \det \tilde{A}_{n-1,k} - \det \tilde{A}_{n-2,k}. \quad (7)$$

This conjecture is true and it can be proved as follows. Let us assume that  $n = qk + 1$ . Then  $n - 1 = qk$  and  $n - 2 = (q - 1)k + k - 1$ . Thus we have successively

$$\begin{aligned}
\det \tilde{A}_{n,k} &= U_{q+1}(x) U_q^{k-1}(x) \\
&= (2xU_q(x) - U_{q-1}(x)) U_q^{k-1}(x) \\
&= 2xU_q^k(x) - U_q^{k-1}(x) U_{q-1}(x) \\
&= 2x \det \tilde{A}_{n-1,k} - \det \tilde{A}_{n-2,k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We observe that with this proof, [9, Conjecture 10] is exactly (8) and thus attest its veracity. Finally we remark that [9, Theorem 7] is the particular case (7) when  $k = 2$ .

#### 4. Conclusions

In this note, we discussed the conjectures proposed recently by A.Z. Küçük and M. Düz in [9]. We proved positively some of them. The main notions were recapitulated in terms of the existing literature, namely the paper [1].

#### References

- [1] Losonczi, L. (1992). Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of some tridiagonal matrices. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 60, 309-332.
- [2] Losonczi, L. (1987). On some discrete quadratic inequalities. *International Series of Numerical Mathematics*, 80, 73-85.
- [3] da Fonseca, C.M., & Yılmaz, F. (2015). Some comments on  $k$ -tridiagonal matrices: determinant, spectra, and inversion. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 270, 644-647.
- [4] McMillen, T. (2009). On the eigenvalues of double band matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 431, 1890-1897.
- [5] da Fonseca, C.M., & Petronilho, J. (2005). Explicit inverse of a tridiagonal  $k$ -Toeplitz matrix. *Numerische Mathematik*, 100(3), 457-482.
- [6] da Fonseca, C.M., Kouachi, S., Mazilu, D.A., & Mazilu I. (2015). A multi-temperature kinetic Ising model and the eigenvalues of some perturbed Jacobi matrices. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 259, 205-211.
- [7] Borowska, J., & Łacińska, L. (2015). Eigenvalues of 2-tridiagonal Toeplitz matrix. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics*, 14, 11-17.
- [8] Borowska, J., Łacińska, L., & Rychlewska, J. (2013). On determinant of certain pentadiagonal matrix. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics*, 12, 21-26.
- [9] Küçük, A.Z., & Düz, M. (2017). Relationships between the permanents of a certain type of  $k$ -tridiagonal symmetric Toeplitz matrix and the Chebyshev polynomials. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics*, 16, 75-86.
- [10] da Fonseca, C.M. (2011). An identity between the determinant and the permanent of Hessenberg type-matrices. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 61(136), 917-921.
- [11] Abramowitz, M., & Stegun, I.A. (1972). *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Tenth printing. National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55.