

## VALUES OF FUNDAMENTAL SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS WITH NATURAL ARGUMENTS

Grzegorz Biernat<sup>1</sup>, Małgorzata Bejm<sup>2</sup>, Tomasz Dedyk<sup>2</sup>, Bernadeta Wilczyńska<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Mathematics, Czestochowa University of Technology, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Computer Science

Czestochowa University of Technology, Poland

grzegorz.biernat@im.pcz.pl

**Abstract.** In this paper we present explicit formulas for the values of fundamental symmetric polynomials with natural arguments.

### Introduction

Symmetric polynomials are the polynomials of  $n$  (real or complex) variables whose values do not depend on the permutation of arguments. The simplest form of these polynomials (called fundamental polynomials) were presented in paper [1].

### 1. Fundamental symmetric and power polynomials

Fundamental symmetric polynomials appear when we calculate the product

$$\begin{aligned}
(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_n) &= x^n - (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)x^{n-1} \\
&+ (x_1x_2 + \dots + x_1x_n + x_2x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}x_n)x^{n-2} \\
&- (x_1x_2x_3 + \dots + x_1x_2x_n + \dots + x_{n-2}x_{n-1}x_n)x^{n-3} + \dots \\
&+ (-1)^n x_1x_2 \dots x_n = x^n - \tau_1x^{n-1} + \tau_2x^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n \tau_n
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tau_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$$

$$\tau_2 = x_1x_2 + \dots + x_1x_n + x_2x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}x_n$$

.....

$$\tau_n = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$$

(1)

Power symmetric polynomials appear when we calculate the expression

$$(x - x_1^k)(x - x_2^k) \dots (x - x_n^k) = x^n - (x_1^k + x_2^k + \dots + x_n^k)x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n x_1^k x_2^k \dots x_n^k$$

where

$$\sigma_k = x_1^k + x_2^k + \dots + x_n^k, \quad k \geq 1 \quad (2)$$

**Proposition 1.**

Polynomials  $\tau_k$  and  $\sigma_k$  are related by the Newton formula

$$\sigma_k - \sigma_{k-1} \cdot \tau_1 + \sigma_{k-2} \cdot \tau_2 - \dots + (-1)^{k-1} \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \tau_{k-1} + (-1)^k \cdot \tau_k = 0 \quad k \geq 1$$

The proof to this proposition is given in book [2].

**2. Fundamental polynomials with natural arguments**

The values of fundamental symmetric polynomials with natural arguments appear when we expand the polynomial

$$(x - 1)(x - 2) \dots (x - n) = x^n - (1 + 2 + \dots + n)x^{n-1} + (1 \cdot 2 + \dots + 1 \cdot n + 2 \cdot 3 + \dots + (n - 1) \cdot n)x^{n-2} - (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + \dots + (n - 2) \cdot (n - 1) \cdot n)x^{n-3} + \dots + (-1)^n \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n$$

In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= 1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{1}{2}n \cdot (n + 1) \\ \tau_2 &= 1 \cdot 2 + \dots + 1 \cdot n + 2 \cdot 3 + \dots + (n - 1) \cdot n \\ \tau_3 &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + \dots + (n - 2) \cdot (n - 1) \cdot n \\ &\dots \\ \tau_n &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (n - 2) \cdot (n - 1) \cdot n = n! \end{aligned}$$

The values of power symmetric polynomials with natural arguments appear when we calculate the product

$$(x - 1^k)(x - 2^k) \dots (x - n^k) = x^n - (1^k + 2^k + \dots + n^k)x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n 1^k \cdot 2^k \cdot \dots \cdot n^k$$

In this case

$$\sigma_k = 1^k + 2^k + \dots + n^k$$

### 3. Calculation of $\sigma_k$

**Proposition 2.**

A recursive formula for  $\sigma_k$  holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{k+1}{1} \cdot \sigma_1 + \binom{k+1}{2} \cdot \sigma_2 + \dots + \binom{k+1}{k} \cdot \sigma_k = \\ & = (n+1) \cdot n \cdot \left[ n^{k-1} + \binom{k}{1} n^{k-2} + \dots + \binom{k}{k-1} \right], \quad k \geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

**Proof.**

To calculate the values of  $\sigma_k$ , we use the Newton binomial formula

$$(k+1)^p - k^p = \binom{p}{1} \cdot k^{p-1} + \binom{p}{2} \cdot k^{p-2} + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1} \cdot k + 1$$

Putting in this formula  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , successively, we have

$$k = 1: \quad 2^p - 1^p = \binom{p}{1} \cdot 1^{p-1} + \binom{p}{2} \cdot 1^{p-2} + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1} \cdot 1 + 1$$

$$k = 2: \quad 3^p - 2^p = \binom{p}{1} \cdot 2^{p-1} + \binom{p}{2} \cdot 2^{p-2} + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1} \cdot 2 + 1$$

.....

$$k = n: \quad (n+1)^p - n^p = \binom{p}{1} \cdot n^{p-1} + \binom{p}{2} \cdot n^{p-2} + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1} \cdot n + 1$$

and summing both sides of these equations, we obtain

$$(n+1)^p - 1 = \binom{p}{1} \cdot \sigma_{p-1} + \binom{p}{2} \cdot \sigma_{p-2} + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1} \cdot \sigma_1 + n$$

This formula agrees with formula (3).

**Proposition 3.**

The values of  $\sigma_k$  can be calculated in another way, namely

$$\sigma_{k-1} = \frac{1}{k} \cdot \left[ n^k + \binom{k}{1} \cdot n^{k-1} \cdot B^1 + \binom{k}{2} \cdot n^{k-2} \cdot B^2 + \binom{k}{3} \cdot n^{k-3} \cdot B^3 + \dots \right] \tag{4}$$

where

$$B^1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad B^2 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad B^3 = 0, \quad B^4 = \frac{-1}{16}, \quad B^5 = 0, \quad \dots$$

are the Bernoulli numbers. The above formula is named the Faulhaber formula.

The proof of this formula can be found in book [3].

#### 4. Calculation of $\tau_k$

Using the determinant (see [2])

$$\tau_k = \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & 2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \sigma_3 & \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & 3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{k-1} & \sigma_{k-2} & \sigma_{k-3} & \sigma_{k-4} & \cdots & k-1 \\ \sigma_k & \sigma_{k-1} & \sigma_{k-2} & \sigma_{k-3} & \cdots & \sigma_1 \end{vmatrix}, k \leq n \quad (5)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(1, 2, \dots, n) &= 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = \frac{1}{2} \cdot n \cdot (n + 1) \\ \tau_2(1, 2, \dots, n) &= 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 3 + \cdots + (n - 1) \cdot n \\ &= \frac{1}{24} \cdot (n - 1) \cdot n \cdot (n + 1) \cdot (3n + 2) \\ \tau_3(1, 2, \dots, n) &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 + \cdots + (n - 2) \cdot (n - 1) \cdot n = \\ &= \frac{1}{48} \cdot (n - 2) \cdot (n - 1) \cdot n^2 \cdot (n + 1)^2 \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \tau_n(1, 2, \dots, n) &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n = n! \end{aligned}$$

The difficulty of decomposing the right hand sides of the above formulas into factors increases significantly with the growth of  $k$ .

#### Proposition 4.

The below recurrence formula, holds

$$\tau_k(1, 2, \dots, n) = n \cdot \tau_{k-1}(1, 2, \dots, n - 1) + \tau_k(1, 2, \dots, n - 1).$$

#### Proof.

We have consecutively

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_k(1, 2, \dots, n) &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n} j_1 j_2 \dots j_k = \\ n \cdot \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{k-1} \leq n-1 \\ j_k = n}} j_1 j_2 \dots j_{k-1} &+ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n-1} j_1 j_2 \dots j_k = \\ n \cdot \tau_{k-1}(1, 2, \dots, n - 1) &+ \tau_k(1, 2, \dots, n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain the next Stirling triangle for the values of  $\tau_k$

				1						$n = 0$
				1		1				$n = 1$
			2	3		1				$n = 2$
		6	11	6		1				$n = 3$
	24	50	35	10		1				$n = 4$
	120	274	225	85	15	1				$n = 5$
	720	1764	1624	735	175	21	1			$n = 6$
	5040	13068	13132	6769	1960	322	28	1		$n = 7$
	40320	109584	118124	67284	22449	4536	546	36	1	$n = 8$
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

Let us go back to formula (5).

Let  $\Sigma_0 = 1$ ,

$$\Sigma_k = \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sigma_3 & \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & 3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{k-1} & \sigma_{k-2} & \sigma_{k-3} & \sigma_{k-4} & \dots & k-1 \\ \sigma_k & \sigma_{k-1} & \sigma_{k-2} & \sigma_{k-3} & \dots & \sigma_1 \end{vmatrix} \text{ for } k \geq 1$$

**Proposition 5.**

The recurrence formula holds

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_k &= \sigma_1 \Sigma_{k-1} - (k-1)\sigma_2 \Sigma_{k-2} + (k-1)(k-2)\sigma_3 \Sigma_{k-3} - \dots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k-1}(k-1)! \sigma_{k-1} \Sigma_1 + (-1)^k \sigma_k \Sigma_0 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

**Proof.**

Calculating the above determinant, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_k &= \sigma_1 \Sigma_{k-1} - (k-1)[\sigma_2 \Sigma_{k-2} - (k-2)[\sigma_3 \Sigma_{k-3} \\ &\quad - (k-3)[\dots - 2[\sigma_{k-1} \Sigma_1 - \sigma_k \Sigma_0] \dots]] \\ &= \sigma_1 \Sigma_{k-1} - (k-1)\sigma_2 \Sigma_{k-2} + (k-1)(k-2)\sigma_3 \Sigma_{k-3} - \dots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k-2}(k-1)! \sigma_{k-1} \Sigma_1 + (-1)^{k-1}(k-1)! \sigma_k \Sigma_0 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 5.

**References**

[1] Biernat G., Ciekot A., The polynomial tensor interpolation. Arithmetical case, Scientific Research of the Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science 2009, 2(8).  
 [2] Mostowski A., Stark M., Elementy algebry wyższej, PWN, Warszawa 1972.  
 [3] Conway J.H., Guy R.K., Księga liczb, WNT, Warszawa 1999.