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Abstract. In the paper an open Markov HM(Howard-Matalytski)-Queueing Network (QN) with incomes, positive customers and signals (G(Gelenbe)-QN with signals) is investigated. The case is researched, when incomes from the transitions between the states of the network are random variables (RV) with given mean values. In the main part of the paper a description is given of G-network with signals and incomes, all kinds of transition probabilities and incomes from the transitions between the states of the network. The method of finding expected incomes of the researched network was proposed, which is based on using of found approximate and exact expressions for the mean values of random incomes. The variances of incomes of queueing systems (QS) was also found. A calculation example, which illustrates the differences of expected incomes of HM-networks with negative customers and QN without them and also with signals, has been given. The practical significance of these results consist of that they can be used at forecasting incomes in computer systems and networks (CSN) taking into account virus penetration into it and also at load control in such networks.
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1. Introduction

For the first time Markov nets with positive customers and signals were introduced and investigated at the non-stationary behavior by E. Gelenbe, see [1]. The action of the signal consists of instantaneous movement of positive customers of this system to some other network system. The signal may work as a trigger, which doesn’t destroy the customers, but only moves them instantly with a given probability of a given system to another network system.

In developing models of computer viruses we can use negative customers. And for load control in the network can be inputted signals (triggers). When viruses penetrate into computers in the information system, it suffers costs or losses due to
the loss of information or CSN distortion. The accounting of the losses in CSN can be realized with the help of a Markov QN model with incomes (HM-networks), positive and negative customers and signals.

In this paper, an open Markov HM-network with positive and negative customers, signals, when the incomes from the transitions between the states of the network are random variables (RV) with time-dependent customer servicing in the systems has been carried out. The expressions for the variances of incomes of queueing systems (QS) was also obtained.

A description of the network is given in [2, 3]. Signal, coming in an empty system $S_i$ (in which there are no positive customers), does not have any impact on the network and immediately disappeared from it. Otherwise, if the system $S_j$ is not empty, when it receives a signal, the following events may occur: incoming signal instantly moves the positive customer from the system $S_i$ into the system $S_j$ with probability $q_{ij}$, in this case, signal is referred to as a trigger; or with probability $q_{i0} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{ij}$ signal is triggered by a negative customer and destroys in QS $S_i$ positive customer. The state of the network meaning the vector $k(t) = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_n, i)$, where $k_i$ - the number of customers at the moment of time $t$ at the system $S_i$, $i=1, n$.

2. Description of incomes from the transitions between the states of the network

Let $\xi_i$ - time of customers service in the system $S_i$ with the distribution function (DF) $F_{\xi_i}(t) = 1 - e^{-\mu_i t}$, $i = 1, n$. Consider the dynamics of income changes of a network system $S_i$, $i = 1, n$. Let at the initial moment of time the income of this QS be equal to $v_{0i}$. We are interested in income changes of the system $S_i$ at time $t$. The income of its QS at moment time $t + \Delta t$ can be represented in the form $V_i(t + \Delta t) = V_i(t) + \Delta V_i(t, \Delta t)$, where $\Delta V_i(t, \Delta t)$ - income changes of the system $S_i$ at the time interval $[t, t + \Delta t]$, $i = 1, n$.

To find the income of the system $S_i$ we write the conditional probabilities of the events that may occur during $\Delta t$. The following cases are possible:

1) with probability $\lambda_{0i} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$ to the system $S_i$ from the external environment a positive customer will arrive, which will bring an income in the amount of $r_{0i}$, where $r_{0i}$ - RV with expectation ($E$) of which equals $E[r_{0i}] = a_{0i}$, $i = 1, n$;

2) with probability $\lambda_{0i} q_{i0} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$ in the QS $S_i$ from the external environment a signal will arrive, which will bring an income (loss) in the amount of signal is
triggered by a negative customer and destroys in QS $S_i$, positive customer, which will bring a loss in the amount of $-\bar{r}_{0i}$, where $\bar{r}_{0i}$ - RV with $E E(\bar{r}_{0i}) = \bar{a}_{0i}$, $i = 1, n$;

3) the incoming signal instantly moves the positive customer from the system $S_i$ into the system $S_j$; probability of this event equals $\lambda_{0i} p_{ij} u(k_j(t)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$, $i, j = 1, n$, $i \neq j$; by this transition the income of $S_i$ is reduced by the amount $\bar{r}_{0i}$, and income of $S_j$ is increased by this amount, where, $\bar{r}_{0i}$ - RV with $E E(\bar{r}_{0i}) = \bar{a}_{0i}$, $i = 1, n$;

4) with probability $\mu_i p_{i0} u(k_i(t)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$ a positive customer will depart from the network to the external environment, while the total amount of income of QS $S_i$ is reduced by an amount which is equal to $R_{i0}$, where $R_{i0}$ - RV with $E E(R_{i0}) = b_{i0}$, $i = 1, n$;

5) with probability $\mu_j (1 - u(k_j(t))) p_{ji} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$ a customer from the system $S_j$ transit to the system $S_i$ as a signal, if in it there were no customers, and the income of $S_i$ is reduced by the amount $\bar{R}_{ij}$, where $\bar{R}_{ij}$ - RV with $E E(\bar{R}_{ij}) = \bar{a}_{ij}$, $i = 1, n$;

6) a customer from the QS $S_i$ transit to the system $S_j$ with probability $\mu_j p_{ji} u(k_j(t)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$, $i, j = 1, n$, $i \neq j$; by such a transition the income of system $S_i$ is reduced by the amount $R_{ij} (\xi_i)$, and the income of system $S_j$ is increased by this amount, $E \{ R_{ij} (\xi_i) \} = \mu_j \int_0^\infty R_{ij}(t) e^{-\mu_i t} dt = a_{ij}$, $i = 1, n$, $j = 1, n$, $i \neq j$;

7) with probability $\mu_j p_{ji} u(k_j(t)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$ positive customer transit from the system $S_j$ to the system $S_i$, wherein the income of the QS $S_i$ will increase by the value of $R_{ji} (\xi_j)$, and the income of $S_j$ is reduced by this amount, $E \{ R_{ji} (\xi_j) \} = a_{ji}$, $j = 1, n$, $j \neq i$;

8) after finishing servicing of a positive customer in QS $S_i$ it is sent to $S_j$ as a signal, which is triggered by a negative customer and destroyed in QS $S_j$ positive customer; the probability of this event equals $\mu_j p_{ji} q_{ji} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$; wherein the income of the QS $S_j$ will reduce by value $\bar{R}_{ji} (\xi_j)$, and the income of $S_j$ is reduced also by the amount, $E \{ \bar{R}_{ji} (\xi_j) \} = \bar{a}_{ji}$, $j = 1, n$, $j \neq i$;
9) after finishing servicing of positive customer in QS $S_i$, it is sent to $S_j$ as a signal, which instantly moves the positive customer from the system $S_j$ into the system $S_i$; the probability of this event equals $\mu_i p_{ij} q_{ij} u(k_j(t)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$, $i = 1, n$, $j = 1, n$, $i \neq j$; by such a transition the income of system $S_i$ and $S_j$ reduced by the amount $R_{ij}$, and income of system $S_s$ is increased by this amount respectively, where $R_{ij}$ - RV with the $E[R_{ij}] = c_{ij}$, $i, j, s = 1, n$, $i \neq j$, $s \neq i$;

10) with probability $1 - (\lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{ji} + \mu_j) u(k_j(t)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$ on time interval $\Delta t$ network state will not change;

11) for every small time interval $\Delta t$ system $S_i$ because of customers’ presence in it increases its income by the amount of $r_i \Delta t$, where $r_i$ - RV with the $E[r_i] = d_i$, $i = 1, n$.

3. Finding the expected incomes of the network systems

Income changes of the QS $S_i$ on interval $[t, t + \Delta t)$ can be written as:

$$\Delta V_i(t, \Delta t) = \begin{cases} 
\begin{aligned}
& r_{ij} + r_i \Delta t \text{ with probability } \lambda_{ij} \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\
& -\tilde{r}_{ij} + r_i \Delta t \text{ with probability } \lambda_{ij} q_{ij} k_i(t) \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\
& -\tilde{r}_{ji} + r_j \Delta t \text{ with probability } \lambda_{ji} q_{ji} k_j(t) \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\
& -R_{i0} + r_i \Delta t \text{ with probability } \mu_i p_{ij} u(k_j(t)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\
& -\tilde{R}_{i0} + r_i \Delta t \text{ with probability } \mu_i (1 - u(k_j(t))) p_{ij} \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\
& -R_{js} + r_j \Delta t \text{ with probability } \mu_j p_{js} q_{js} u(k_j(t)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\
& -\tilde{R}_{js} + r_j \Delta t \text{ with probability } \mu_j p_{js} q_{js} k_j(t) \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\
& r_i \Delta t \text{ with probability } 1 - \left[\lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{ji} + \mu_j\right] u(k_j(t)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\
& j, s = 1, n, j \neq i, s \neq i.
\end{aligned}
\end{cases}$$

Let us find the expression for the expected income of the system $S_i$ in time $t$, suppose, all the network systems operate under heavy-traffic regime, i.e. $k_i(t) > 0$, $\forall t > 0$, $i = 1, n$. Taking into account (1) for the $E$ or income changes we can write:
\[
\begin{align*}
E\{\Delta V_i(t, \Delta t)\} &= (a_{ij} + d_i, \Delta t)\left(\lambda_{i0}^+, \Delta t + o(\Delta t)\right) + \\
&+ (-\bar{a}_{ij} + d_i, \Delta t)\left(\lambda_{i0}^-, \Delta t + o(\Delta t)\right) + \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[(-\bar{a}_{ij} + d_i, \Delta t)\left(\lambda_{ij}^- q_{ij}, \Delta t + o(\Delta t)\right)\right] + \\
&+ (-b_{ij} + d_i, \Delta t)\left(\mu_j p_j^+ \Delta t + o(\Delta t)\right) + \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[(-a_{ij} + d_i, \Delta t)\left(\mu_j p_j^+ \Delta t + o(\Delta t)\right)\right] + \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\left(\mu_j \mu_j p_j^+ \Delta t + o(\Delta t)\right)\right]
\end{align*}
\]

\[
+ d_i \Delta t \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\lambda_{i0}^+ + (\lambda_{i0}^- + \mu_j)\right] \Delta t + o(\Delta t)\right) = \\
= (a_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}^+, -\bar{a}_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}^-, q_{ij}, b_{ij}, \mu_j, p_{ij} + d_i) \Delta t + \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[-\bar{a}_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}^-, q_{ij}, a_{ij} \mu_j p_j^+ + a_{ij} \mu_j p_j^+ - \\
- a_{ij}, \mu_j p_j^+ q_{ij} - \sum_{s=1}^{n} c_{js}, \mu_j, p_{ij} \Delta q_{js}\right] \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \ i = 1, n.
\]

Then, similarly as in [3], we obtain

\[
v_i(t) = E[V_i(t)] = v_{i0} + \\
+ \left\{a_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}^+, -\bar{a}_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}^-, q_{ij}, b_{ij}, \mu_j, p_{ij} + d_i, -\bar{a}_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}^- \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{ij} - \\
- \mu_j \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} p_j^+ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \mu_j p_j^+ - \mu_j \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \lambda_{ij}^- q_{ij} - \mu_j \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^+ \sum_{s=1}^{n} c_{js} \Delta q_{js}\right\} \Delta t = \\
= v_{i0} + \left\{a_{ij}^+, \lambda_{ij}^+, -\bar{a}_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}^-, q_{ij}, -\mu_j b_{ij}, p_{ij} + d_i, + \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[-\bar{a}_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}^-, q_{ij}, a_{ij} \mu_j p_j^+ - \\
- a_{ij} \lambda_{ij}^- q_{ij} - \bar{a}_{ij} \lambda_{ij}^- q_{ij} - \sum_{s=1}^{n} c_{js}, \mu_j, p_{ij} \Delta q_{js}\right]\right\} \Delta t, \ i = 1, n.
\]
4. Finding variances of the incomes of the network systems

As in [4], system income $S_i$ can be presented in form $V_i(t) = v_{i0} + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \Delta V_i(t)$, where $m$ - count of partitions of the interval $[0, t]$ by equal parts, $\Delta t = \frac{t}{m}$; $\Delta V_i(t) = V_i(t + \Delta t) - V_i(t)$ - income changes $i$-th QS on $l$-th time interval, $i = 1, n$, $l = 1, m$. To calculate the variance of the system income in the network, we introduce the following designations:

\[
E\{r_{0i}\} = a_{20i}, \quad E\{v_{0i}\} = \bar{a}_{20i}, \quad E\{\bar{r}_{0i}\} = b_{20i}, \quad E\{\bar{r}_{ji}\} = \bar{b}_{20i},
\]

\[
E\{\xi_i\} = a_{2ji}, \quad E\{\bar{\xi}_j\} = b_{2ji},
\]

\[
E\{r_{ji}\} = c_{2ji}, \quad E\{\bar{r}_{ji}\} = d_{2ji}, \quad i, j = 1, n.
\]

Let us consider the square of the difference $(V_i(t) - v_{i0})^2$:

\[
(V_i(t) - v_{i0})^2 = \left( v_{i0} + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \Delta V_i(t, \Delta t) - v_{i0} \right)^2 = \left( \sum_{l=1}^{m} \Delta V_i(t, \Delta t) \right)^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \Delta V_i^2(t, \Delta t) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{l' = 1}^{m} \Delta V_i(t, \Delta t) \Delta V_j(t, \Delta t), \quad i = 1, n. \tag{3}
\]

Let us find the expectations of summands in the right side of the last equality. For this we write support equalities considering that RV and functions of RV $r_0$, $\bar{r}_0$, $R_{00}$, $R_{0j}(\xi_i)$, $R_{ji}(\bar{\xi}_j)$, $R_{0j}(\xi_j)$, $R_{ji}(\bar{\xi}_j)$ pairwise independent from $r_i$, $i, j = 1, n$. Then

\[
E\{(r_{0i} + r_i \Delta t)^2\} = a_{20i} + 2a_{0i}d_i \Delta t + d_{2i}(\Delta t)^2, \tag{4}
\]

\[
E\{(-\bar{r}_{0i} + r_i \Delta t)^2\} = \bar{a}_{20i} - 2\bar{a}_{0i}d_i \Delta t + d_{2i}(\Delta t)^2, \tag{5}
\]

\[
E\{(-\bar{r}_{0i} + r_i \Delta t)^2\} = \bar{a}_{20i} - 2\bar{a}_{0i}d_i \Delta t + d_{2i}(\Delta t)^2, \tag{6}
\]

\[
E\{(R_{0i} + r_i \Delta t)^2\} = b_{20i} - 2b_{0i}d_i \Delta t + d_{2i}(\Delta t)^2, \tag{7}
\]

\[
E\{(R_{ji}(\bar{\xi}_j) + r_i \Delta t)^2\} = a_{2ji} - 2a_{ji}d_i \Delta t + d_{2i}(\Delta t)^2, \tag{8}
\]

\[
E\{(R_{ji}(\bar{\xi}_j) + r_i \Delta t)^2\} = a_{2ji} + 2a_{ji}d_i \Delta t + d_{2i}(\Delta t)^2, \tag{9}
\]
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\[ E\left[ -\bar{R}_j \left( \hat{c}_j \right) + r_j \Delta t \right] = \bar{a}_{2j} \Delta t - 2\bar{a}_j d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2, \]  
(10)

\[ E\left[ -R_{jj} + r_j \Delta t \right] = c_{2jj} \Delta t - 2c_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2. \]  
(11)

Considering (4)-(11), we have:

\[ E\left\{ \Delta V_{il}^2(t, \Delta t) \right\} = \left( a_{20i} + 2a_{0i} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right) \lambda_{0i}^* \Delta t + \]
\[ + \left( \bar{a}_{20i} - 2\bar{a}_{0i} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right) \lambda_{0i}^* \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_q \Delta t + \]
\[ + \left( \bar{a}_{20i} - 2\bar{a}_{0i} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right) \lambda_{0i}^* \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_q \Delta t + \]
\[ + \left( b_{20i} - 2b_{0i} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right) \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{2ij} - 2a_{ij} d_j \Delta t + d_{2j} \Delta t^2 \right] \mu_j p_{ij} \Delta t + \] \[ \Delta \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \hat{\lambda}_{ij}^* + \left( \lambda_{ij}^* + \mu_j \right) \right] \right) + o(\Delta t) = \]
\[ = \left( a_{20i} \lambda_0^* + \bar{a}_{20i} \lambda_0^* q_{ij} + b_{20i} \mu_j p_{ij} + d_{2j} \right) \Delta t + \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \bar{a}_{20i} \lambda_0^* q_{ij} + a_{20i} \mu_j p_{ij} + a_{ij} \mu_j p_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij} \mu_j p_{ij} q_{ij} + \right. \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ c_{2ij} \mu_j p_{ij} q_{ij} \right] \] \[ \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \ i = 1, \ldots, n \]

Insofar as the values \( \Delta V_{ij}^2(t, \Delta t) \) and \( \Delta V_{ij}^2(t, \Delta t) \) are independent at \( i \neq j \), using (12) one can find

\[ E\left\{ \Delta V_{ij}^2(t, \Delta t) \left| \Delta V_{ij}^2(t, \Delta t) \right. \right\} = \left\{ \left( \lambda_{0i}^* a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ij} q_{ij} - \mu_j p_{ij} + d_j \right) + \right. \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \hat{\lambda}_{ij}^* a_{ij} - \bar{\lambda}_{ij} a_{ij} q_{ij} - \mu_j p_{ij} \right] \] \[ \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right\}^2 = o(\Delta t). \]  
(13)
Then, further, passing to the limit \( \Delta t \rightarrow 0 \), from (3), (12), (13) and also, that \( m \Delta t = t \), obtain

\[
E \left\{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0})^2 \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} E \left\{ \Delta V_{i0}^2 (t, \Delta t) / k(t) \right\} + \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{q=1}^{m} E \left\{ \Delta V_{jq} (t, \Delta t) \Delta V_{qj} (\Delta t) \right\} (t, \Delta t) =
\]

\[
= \left\{ \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{+} + \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{-} q_{j0} + b_{20j} \mu_{j} p_{j0} + d_{2j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{+} q_{j} + \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{-} q_{j} + \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{+} q_{j0} \right] \right\} t, \ i = \overline{1,n} \tag{14}
\]

Now let us find an expression for \( E^2 \{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0}) \} \), using (2):

\[
E^2 \{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0}) \} = \left\{ \left( \lambda_{0i}^{+} - \lambda_{0i}^{-} q_{i0} - \mu_j b_{i0} p_{i0} + d_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \lambda_{0j}^{+} q_{j} - \mu_j p_{j0} + \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{-} q_{j0} + \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{+} q_{j0} \right] \right) \right\} t, \ i = \overline{1,n} \tag{15}
\]

In this way, the variance of income of \( i \)-th QS, considering (14), (15), can be written in the form

\[
Var V_i(t) = \left\{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0}) \right\} = \\
= \left\{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0})^2 \right\} - \left\{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0}) \right\} = \\
= \left\{ \alpha_{20i} \lambda_{0i}^{+} + \alpha_{20i} \lambda_{0i}^{-} q_{i0} + b_{20i} \mu_{i} p_{i0} + d_{2i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{+} q_{j} + \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{-} q_{j} + \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{+} q_{j0} \right] \right\} t - \left\{ \left( \lambda_{0i}^{+} - \lambda_{0i}^{-} q_{i0} - \mu_j b_{i0} p_{i0} + d_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \lambda_{0j}^{+} q_{j} - \mu_j p_{j0} + \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{-} q_{j0} + \alpha_{20j} \lambda_{0j}^{+} q_{j0} \right] \right) \right\} t, \ i = \overline{1,n} \tag{16}
\]
5. Numerical example

As is known (see [5]), relation for the expected income of the system $S_i$ in the case, where there are no negative customers in the network and all systems operate in a heavy-traffic regime, has the form:

$$\bar{v}_i(t) = v_{i0} + \left[ \lambda a_{i0} \tilde{p}_{i0} - \mu_i b_{i0} \tilde{p}_{i0} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j a_{ji} \tilde{p}_{ji} - \mu \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ji} \tilde{p}_{ji} + d_i \right] t, \quad i = 1, n \tag{17}$$

where: $\lambda$ - input rate of customers; $\tilde{p}_{i0}$ - probability of a customer arriving to the system $S_i$, $i = 1, n$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{p}_{i0} = 1$; $\tilde{p}_{ij}$ - probability that the customer which finished servicing in $i$-th QS move to $j$-th QS, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{p}_{ij} = 1, i = 1, n$; $\tilde{p}_{i0}$ - probability of the departure of a customer, $\tilde{p}_{i0} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{p}_{ij}, i = 1, n$. Relation for the expected income of the system $S_i$ with negative customers but without considering input signals, can be written as [6]:

$$\bar{v}_i(t) = v_{i0} + \left[ \lambda a_{i0} \tilde{p}_{i0} - \lambda a_{i0} \tilde{p}_{i0} - \mu_i b_{i0} \tilde{p}_{i0} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \mu_j a_{ji} \tilde{p}_{ji} + c_{ji} p_{ji} \right) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j a_{ji} p_{ji}^+ + d_i \right] t, \quad i = 1, n \tag{18}$$

Let $n = 10$; input rates of positive customers and signals $\lambda_{i0}$ and $\lambda_{i0}$ respectively equal $\lambda_{i0} = 1$, $\lambda_{i0} = 0.5$, $i = 1, n$. Service rates of customers $\mu_i$ equal $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 1$, $\mu_4 = 2$, $\mu_5 = 3$, $\mu_6 = 4$, $\mu_7 = 7$, $\mu_8 = 13$, $\mu_9 = 7$, $\mu_{10} = 0.5$. Let us transition probabilities of positive customers $p_{ji}$ respectively equal: $p_{i1} = 1/8$, $p_{i2} = 1/10$, $p_{i3} = 1/10$, $p_{i4} = 1/10$, $p_{i5} = 1/10$, $p_{i6} = 1/10$, $p_{i7} = 1/10$, $p_{i8} = 1/10$, $p_{i9} = 1/10$, $p_{i10} = 1/10$, $p_{j1} = 1/8$, $p_{j2} = 1/8$, $p_{j3} = 1/8$, $p_{j4} = 1/8$, $p_{j5} = 1/8$, $p_{j6} = 1/8$, $p_{j7} = 1/8$, $p_{j8} = 1/8$, $p_{j9} = 1/8$, $p_{j10} = 1/8$, $p_{i0} = p_{j0} = p_{i0} = 1/8$, $p_{j0} = p_{j0} = p_{j0} = 1/8$, $p_{j0} = p_{j0} = p_{j0} = 1/8$, other equal zero. Probabilities, that were serviced in $S_i$, move to $S_j$ as negative, equal: $p_{i2} = 1/9$, $p_{i3} = 1/9$, ...
\[ p_{21} = 1/11, \quad p_{23} = 1/11, \quad p_{24} = 1/11, \quad p_{25} = 1/11, \quad p_{31} = 1/11, \quad p_{32} = 1/11, \quad p_{36} = 1/11, \]
\[ p_{37} = 1/11, \quad p_{42} = p_{45} = p_{48} = 1/9, \quad p_{52} = p_{54} = p_{56} = 1/9, \quad p_{63} = 1/9, \quad p_{65} = 1/9, \]
\[ p_{67} = 1/9, \quad p_{69} = 1/9, \quad p_{73} = p_{76} = p_{75} = 1/9, \quad p_{84} = 1/9, \quad p_{85} = 1/9, \quad p_{89} = 1/9, \]
\[ p_{910} = 1/9, \quad p_{96} = p_{97} = p_{98} = p_{910} = 1/9, \quad p_{10.8} = p_{10.9} = 1/6, \text{ other equal zero.} \]

Departure probabilities equal \( p_{40} = p_{70} = 7/24, \quad p_{10.0} = 4/15. \) Probabilities of a signal

arriving, which are instantly moves the positive customer from the system \( S_j \) to the

system \( S_j \) respectively equal: \( q_{12} = 1/10, \quad q_{31} = 1/10, \quad q_{21} = q_{23} = q_{24} = q_{25} = 1/12, \)

\( q_{31} = q_{32} = q_{36} = q_{37} = 1/12, \quad q_{42} = q_{45} = q_{48} = 1/10, \quad q_{52} = q_{54} = q_{56} = 1/10, \)

\( q_{62} = q_{64} = q_{67} = q_{69} = 1/10, \quad q_{73} = 1/10, \quad q_{76} = 1/10, \quad q_{79} = 1/10, \quad q_{84} = 1/10, \)

\( q_{85} = q_{89} = 1/10, \quad q_{96} = q_{97} = q_{98} = q_{10.10} = 1/10, \quad q_{10.8} = q_{10.9} = 1/7, \text{ other equal zero.} \)

Probabilities that signal is triggered as a negative customer and destroys in

\( q_{10} = 4/5, \quad q_{20} = 2/3, \quad q_{30} = 2/3, \quad q_{40} = 7/10, \quad q_{50} = 3/5, q_{60} = 3/5, q_{70} = 7/10, q_{80} = 3/5, q_{90} = 3/5, q_{10.0} = 5/7. \)

Let us set values for the required expectations: \( a_{01} = a_{02} = 15000, \quad a_{03} = 25000, \)

\( a_{04} = 500000, a_{05} = a_{06} = 15000, a_{07} = 41000, a_{08} = 35000, a_{09} = 55000, a_{010} = 50000; \)

\( a_{61} = 50000, a_{62} = a_{63} = 15000, a_{64} = 50000, a_{65} = 80000, a_{66} = 30000, a_{67} = 14000, \)

\( a_{68} = 15000, a_{69} = 10000, a_{610} = 80000; \quad a_{71} = 10000, a_{72} = 30000, a_{73} = 15000, \)

\( a_{74} = 40000, a_{75} = 60000, a_{76} = 90000, a_{77} = 15000, a_{78} = 15020, a_{79} = 900, \)

\( a_{710} = 300; b_{10} = 100, b_{20} = 7000, b_{30} = 8000, b_{40} = 15000, b_{50} = b_{60} = b_{70} = 2000, \)

\( b_{80} = 8000, b_{90} = 3000, b_{10.0} = 1000; \quad c_{12} = 2000, c_{13} = 15000, c_{20} = 20000, c_{23} = 20000, \)

\( c_{24} = c_{25} = 20000, c_{31} = c_{32} = c_{36} = c_{37} = 4000, c_{42} = c_{45} = c_{48} = 20000, c_{52} = c_{54} = c_{56} = c_{58} = 15000, \)

\( c_{63} = c_{65} = c_{67} = c_{69} = 17000, c_{73} = c_{76} = c_{79} = 23000, c_{84} = c_{85} = 20000, c_{89} = c_{8.10} = 20000, \)

\( c_{96} = c_{97} = c_{98} = c_{9.10} = 30000, c_{9.8} = c_{9.9} = 13000; \quad d_{1} = 1000, d_{2} = 1200, d_{3} = 1300, d_{4} = 1200, d_{5} = 2000, d_{6} = 1000, d_{7} = 8000, \)

\( d_{8} = 1000, d_{9} = 1200, d_{10} = 3000, \text{ other equal zero.} \)

Expectations for the random system of incomes have been calculated. Their values

have the form: \( a_{12} = 15000, a_{13} = 50000, a_{21} = 2570.5, a_{23} = 5000, a_{24} = 1000, \)

\( a_{25} = 10000, a_{31} = 10000, a_{32} = 10000, a_{36} = 4500, a_{37} = 5000, a_{42} = -900, a_{45} = 1020, \)

\( a_{48} = 20000, a_{52} = 3230, a_{54} = 4330, a_{56} = 6330, a_{58} = 3330, a_{63} = 10000, \)

\( a_{65} = 10010, a_{67} = 1009, a_{69} = 10000, a_{73} = 2330, a_{76} = a_{79} = 3330, a_{84} = 7678, \)

\( a_{85} = 7780, a_{89} = -900, a_{8.10} = 1500, a_{97} = 1000, a_{98} = 1000, a_{9.30} = -990, a_{10.8} = 396, \)

\( a_{10.9} = 978, \tilde{a}_{12} = a_{12}, \tilde{a}_{13} = a_{13}, \tilde{a}_{21} = 2000, \tilde{a}_{23} = 5000, \tilde{a}_{24} = 10500, \tilde{a}_{25} = 5000, \)

\( \tilde{a}_{31} = \tilde{a}_{12} = 1000, \tilde{a}_{36} = 4500, \tilde{a}_{37} = 100, \tilde{a}_{42} = -1900, \tilde{a}_{45} = 5020, \tilde{a}_{48} = 10000, \)

\( \tilde{a}_{52} = \tilde{a}_{54} = \tilde{a}_{56} = \tilde{a}_{58} = 1000, \)
Let us suppose that income at the initial time equals $v_0 = 0$, $i = 1, n$. Consider the length of the time interval of 10 hours, $t \in [0, T]$, $T = 10$. Then using formulas (2), (17), (18) analytical expressions have been found for the expected system incomes of the networks.

In Figure 1, income changes is shown of the QS $S_2$ for HM-network with negative customers and without them, and also with signals. One can see that the negative customers reduce expected income of the system $S_2$. Signals inputting also influence the income changes, reducing it.

6. Conclusions

In this paper a method was proposed for finding expected incomes in HM-network systems with positive and negative customers and also with signals. Incomes from the transitions between the states of the network are RV with given mean values. This method is based on the using of found approximate and exact expressions for the mean values of the random incomes. An example was calculated. The expressions for the variances of incomes of QS was obtained. The obtained results can be used in modeling income changes in various CSN, the virus penetration into it, and also to load control in CSN.
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