

## INVESTIGATION OF HM-NETWORK WITH UNRELIABLE QUEUEING SYSTEMS AND RANDOM INCOMES

Michał Matalycki<sup>1</sup>, Swiatosław Statkiewicz<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Mathematics, Czestochowa University of Technology, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Grodno State University, Belarus  
sstat@grsu.by

**Abstract.** Expressions for expected incomes and variances in systems of HM (Howard-Matalycki)-queueing network are obtained. Queueing systems are unreliable, service channels in them are exposed to random failure. It is supposed, that service rate of messages, rate of work of serviceable channels and restoration rate of faulty channels depends on messages number in these systems. The case when incomes from transitions between network's states are random variables with the given moments of first two orders is thus considered.

### Introduction

In [1-4] expressions for expected incomes and variances of incomes in systems queueing networks of arbitrary structure with service disciplines FIFO have been received. The incomes of transitions between network's states are random variables (RV) with specified moments of the first and the second orders. In [5, 6] considered HM-queueing network (QN) with unreliable queueing system (QS) in a case when incomes of transitions between their states are time-dependent determined functions.

Let us examine open exponential QN with one type messages which consist of  $n$  queueing systems (QS)  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ . The Poisson flow of one type messages with arrival rate  $\lambda$  comes into network. Let the system  $S_i$  will consist of  $m_i$  identical service channels, the service time in each of which has exponential distribution with parameter  $\mu_i(k_i)$ , where  $k_i$  - messages number in this system,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ .

Let's suppose, that service channels of system  $S_0$  (outside) are absolutely reliable. At the other QS  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  service channels are exposed to random failure and serviceable work time of each channel of system  $S_i$  has exponential distribution with parameter  $\beta_i(k_i)$ . After failure the service channel immediately starts to be restored and restoration time also has exponential distribution with parameter  $\gamma_i(k_i)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ . Let's consider, that service times of messages, durations of service-

able work of channels and restoration time of service channels are independent random variables. State of such network could be described via vector  $Z(t) = (d(t), k(t)) = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n, t)$ , where  $d_i(t)$  - number of serviceable channels in system  $S_i$  at the moment  $t$ ,  $0 \leq d_i(t) \leq m_i$ ,  $k_i$  - messages number in system  $S_i$  at the moment  $t$ ,  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ . Let  $p_{0j}$  - probability of message enter from outside to the system  $S_j$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n p_{0j} = 1$ ;  $p_{ij}$  - probability of message transition from system  $S_i$  to the system  $S_j$ ,  $\sum_{j=0}^n p_{ij} = 1$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ . Matrix  $P = \|p_{ij}\|_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$  is matrix of passage probabilities of irreducible Markovian chains. Service rate of message occurs according to discipline FIFO.

In the given article the approximating expressions for expected (mean) incomes of QS at the any moment  $t$  are discovered. Provided that values of these incomes in initial moment of time are known.

## 1. Expected incomes of network's systems

Let us consider dynamics of income changes of some network system  $S_i$ . Let at the initial moment income of this system equal  $v_{i0}$ . Denote income at the moment  $t$  as  $V_i(t)$ . Dividing time interval  $[0, t]$  on  $m$  equal parts in length  $\Delta t = \frac{t}{m}$ , suppose that  $m$  is large. Let  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t)$  represents of income change of system  $S_i$  an  $l$ -th time interval length  $\Delta t$ ,  $l = \overline{1, m}$ . For determination income  $V_i(t)$  of system  $S_i$  we will write probabilities of those events which can appear on time interval  $l$ . Following situations are possible.

1) With probability

$$1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{j=1}^n [\mu_j(k_j(l)) + \beta_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l))] u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$$

state changes of system  $S_i$  will not appear, system  $S_i$  increases its income by value  $r_i \Delta t$  at the expense of percents on the money  $r$  which are in it. Let also suppose, that  $r_i$  is RV with distribution function (d.f.)  $F_i(x)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ ;  $k_i(l)$  - message number in  $i$ -th QS on  $l$ -th time interval,  $u(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$  - Heaviside function.

2) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mu_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))p_{i0}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n [\mu_j(k_j(l)) + \beta_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l))]u(k_j(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [\beta_i(k_i(l)) + \gamma_i(k_i(l))]u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

message becomes processed in system  $S_i$  and will pass to outside, income of system  $S_i$  will decrease by value  $R_{i0}$ , where  $R_{i0}$  - RV with d.f.  $F_{i0}(x)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ .

3) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\lambda p_{0i}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n p_{0j} + \sum_{j=1}^n [\mu_j(k_j(l)) + \beta_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l))]u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

message from outside will enter to system  $S_i$  and will bring to it income of size  $r_{0i}$ , where  $r_{0i}$  - RV with d.f.  $F_{0i}(x)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ .

4) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mu_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))p_{ij}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \neq i}}^n [\mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l)) + \gamma_c(k_c(l))]u(k_c(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [\beta_i(k_i(l)) + \gamma_i(k_i(l))]u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

message from the system  $S_i$  will pass to the system  $S_j$ , income of  $i$ -th QS change on  $\Delta V_{ij}(\Delta t) = -R_{ij} + r_i\Delta t$ , where  $R_{ij}$  - RV with d.f.  $F_{ij}(x)$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

5) With probability

$$[\mu_j(k_j(l))u(k_j(l))p_{ji}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times$$

$$\times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \neq j}}^n [\mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l)) + \gamma_c(k_c(l))] u(k_c(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\beta_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l))] u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right]$$

message from the system  $S_j$  will pass to the system  $S_i$ , income of  $i$ -th system will change on  $\Delta V_{ii}(\Delta t) = r_{ji} + r_i \Delta t$ , where  $r_{ji}$  - RV with d.f.  $F_{2ji}(x)$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Evidently that  $r_{ji} = R_{ji}$  with probability 1, i.e.

$$F_{1ij}(x) = F_{2ij}(x), \quad i, j = \overline{1, n}, \quad i \neq j \quad (1)$$

6) With probability

$$\left[ \beta_j(k_j(l)) u(k_j(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \neq j}}^n [\mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l)) + \gamma_c(k_c(l))] u(k_c(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\mu_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l))] u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right]$$

number of serviceable channels in system  $S_j$  will decrease, income of  $i$ -th QS change on  $\Delta V_{ii}(\Delta t) = r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ .

7) With probability

$$\left[ \beta_j(k_j(l)) u(k_j(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ \mu_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) p_{i0} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \neq i, j}}^n [\mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l)) + \gamma_c(k_c(l))] u(k_c(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\mu_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l))] u(k_j(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\beta_i(k_i(l)) + \gamma_i(k_i(l))] u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right]$$

number of serviceable channels in system  $S_j$  will decrease, message becomes processed in system  $S_i$  and will pass to outside, then  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -R_{i0} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ .

8) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\beta_j(k_j(l))u(k_j(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\lambda p_{0i} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n p_{0s} + \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq j}}^n [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \beta_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [\mu_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l))] u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

number of serviceable channels in system  $S_j$  will decrease, message from outside will enter to system  $S_i$ ;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = r_{0i} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ .

9) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\beta_s(k_s(l))u(k_s(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\mu_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))p_{ij} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i, s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [\beta_i(k_i(l)) + \gamma_i(k_i(l))] u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease, message from the system  $S_i$  will pass to the system  $S_j$ ;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -R_{ij} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

10) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\beta_s(k_s(l))u(k_s(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\mu_j(k_j(l))u(k_j(l))p_{ji} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j, s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left[ \mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l)) \right] u(k_s(l)) + \left. \left[ \beta_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l)) \right] u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$$

number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease, message from the system  $S_j$  will pass to the system  $S_i$ ;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = r_{ji} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

11) With probability

$$\left[ \gamma_j(k_j(l)) u(k_j(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \neq j}}^n \left[ \mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l)) + \gamma_c(k_c(l)) \right] u(k_c(l)) + \left[ \mu_j(k_j(l)) + \beta_j(k_j(l)) \right] u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right]$$

number of serviceable channels in system  $S_j$  will increase and  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

12) With probability

$$\left[ \gamma_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \neq i}}^n \left[ \mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l)) + \gamma_c(k_c(l)) \right] u(k_c(l)) + \left[ \mu_i(k_i(l)) + \beta_i(k_i(l)) \right] u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right]$$

service channel in system  $S_i$  will be restored, income decrease on  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -g_i + r_i \Delta t$ , where  $g_i$  - RV with d.f.  $H_i(x)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ . In this case  $g_i$  it's payment for restoration of service channel in system  $S_i$ .

13) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \gamma_j(k_j(l))u(k_j(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ \mu_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))p_{i0}\Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i, j}}^n \left[ \mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l)) \right] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left[ \mu_j(k_j(l)) + \beta_j(k_j(l)) \right] u(k_j(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left[ \beta_i(k_i(l)) + \gamma_i(k_i(l)) \right] u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_j$  will be restored, message becomes processed in system  $S_i$  and will pass to outside;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -R_{i0} + r_i\Delta t$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

14) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \gamma_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ \mu_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))p_{i0}\Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i}}^n \left[ \mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l)) \right] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \beta_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_i$  will be restored, message becomes processed in this system and will pass to outside;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -g_i - R_{i0} + r_i\Delta t$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ .

15) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \gamma_j(k_j(l))u(k_j(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ \lambda p_{0i}\Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n p_{0s} + \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq j}}^n \left[ \mu_s(k_s(l)) + \beta_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l)) \right] u(k_s(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left[ \mu_j(k_j(l)) + \beta_j(k_j(l)) \right] u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_j$  will be restored, message from outside will enter to system  $S_i$ ;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = r_{0i} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

16) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\lambda p_{0i} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n p_{0s} + \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \beta_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [\mu_i(k_i(l)) + \beta_i(k_i(l))] u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_i$  will be restored and message from outside will enter to this system;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -g_i + r_{0i} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ .

17) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma_s(k_s(l))u(k_s(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\mu_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))p_{ij} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i, s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \beta_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [\beta_i(k_i(l)) + \gamma_i(k_i(l))] u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_s$  will be restored, message from the system  $S_i$  will pass to the system  $S_j$ , income of  $i$ -th QS change on  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -R_{ij} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j, s = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq s$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

18) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\mu_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))p_{ij} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \beta_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$



service channel in system  $S_i$  will be restored, message from the system  $S_i$  will pass to the system  $S_j$ , income of  $i$ -th system change on  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -g_i - R_{ij} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

19) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma_s(k_s(l))u(k_s(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\mu_j(k_j(l))u(k_j(l))p_{ji}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j, s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))]u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \beta_s(k_s(l))]u(k_s(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + [\beta_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l))]u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_s$  will be restored, message from the system  $S_j$  will pass to the system  $S_i$ , income of  $i$ -th system change on  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = r_{ji} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j, s = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq s$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

20) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\mu_j(k_j(l))u(k_j(l))p_{ji}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i, j}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))]u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + [\mu_i(k_i(l)) + \beta_i(k_i(l))]u(k_i(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + [\beta_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l))]u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_i$  will be restored, message from the system  $S_j$  will pass to the system  $S_i$ ;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -g_i + r_{ji} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

21) With probability

$$[\gamma_c(k_c(l))u(k_c(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\beta_s(k_s(l))u(k_s(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times$$

$$\times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq c, s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l))] u(k_c(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right]$$

service channel in system  $S_c$  will be restored, number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease, state changes of system  $S_i$  will not appear. Income of  $i$ -th system  $S_i$  change on  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, c, s = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq c$ .

22) With probability

$$\left[ \gamma_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ \beta_s(k_s(l)) u(k_s(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i, s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\mu_i(k_i(l)) + \beta_i(k_i(l))] u(k_i(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right]$$

service channel in system  $S_i$  will be restored, number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease, state changes of system  $S_i$  will not appear;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -g_i + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, s = \overline{1, n}$ .

23) With probability

$$\left[ \gamma_c(k_c(l)) u(k_c(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ \beta_s(k_s(l)) u(k_s(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ \times \left[ \mu_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) p_{i0} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i, c, s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l))] u(k_c(l)) + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) + \right. \right.$$

$$\left. + \left[ \beta_i(k_i(l)) + \gamma_i(k_i(l)) \right] u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \Bigg]$$

service channel in system  $S_c$  will be restored, number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease, message becomes processed in system  $S_i$  and will pass to outside;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -R_{i0} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, c, s = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq c$ .

24) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \gamma_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ \beta_s(k_s(l)) u(k_s(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ & \quad \times \left[ \mu_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) p_{i0} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ & \quad \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i, s}}^n \left[ \mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l)) \right] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \beta_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) + \left[ \mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l)) \right] u(k_s(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_i$  will be restored, number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease, message becomes processed in system  $S_i$  and will pass to outside;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = -g_i - R_{i0} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, s = \overline{1, n}$ .

25) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \gamma_c(k_c(l)) u(k_c(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ \beta_s(k_s(l)) u(k_s(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ & \quad \times \left[ \lambda p_{0i} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i}}^n p_{0q} + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq c, s}}^n \left[ \mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \gamma_q(k_q(l)) \right] u(k_q(l)) + \left[ \mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l)) \right] u(k_c(l)) + \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left[ \mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l)) \right] u(k_s(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_c$  will be restored, number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease, message from outside will enter to system  $S_i$ ;  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) = r_{0i} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, c, s = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq c$ .

26) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\beta_s(k_s(l))u(k_s(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times [\lambda p_{0i}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i}}^n p_{0q} + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq c,s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_i$  will be restored, number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease, message from outside will enter to system  $S_i$ ;

$$\Delta V_{ii}(\Delta t) = -g_i + r_{0i} + r_i \Delta t, \quad i, s = \overline{1, n}.$$

27) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma_c(k_c(l))u(k_c(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\beta_s(k_s(l))u(k_s(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times [\mu_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))p_{ij}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i,c,s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [\mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l))] u(k_c(l)) + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [\beta_i(k_i(l)) + \gamma_i(k_i(l))] u(k_i(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

service channel in system  $S_c$  will be restored, number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease, message from the system  $S_i$  will pass to system  $S_j$ , income of  $i$ -th QS change on  $\Delta V_{ij}(\Delta t) = -R_{ij} + r_i \Delta t, \quad i, j, c, s = \overline{1, n}, \quad i \neq j, \quad i \neq c.$

28) With probability

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] [\beta_s(k_s(l))u(k_s(l))\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \\ & \times [\mu_i(k_i(l))u(k_i(l))p_{ij}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)] \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq i, s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \beta_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right]$$

service channel in system  $S_i$  will be restored, number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease. Message from the system  $S_i$  will pass to system  $S_j$ ;

$$\Delta V_{ii}(\Delta t) = -g_i - R_{ij} + r_i \Delta t, \quad i, j, s = \overline{1, n}, \quad i \neq j.$$

29) With probability

$$\left[ \gamma_c(k_c(l)) u(k_c(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ \beta_s(k_s(l)) u(k_s(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ \times \left[ \mu_j(k_j(l)) u(k_j(l)) p_{ji} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j, c, s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\mu_c(k_c(l)) + \beta_c(k_c(l))] u(k_c(l)) + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\beta_j(k_j(l)) + \gamma_j(k_j(l))] u(k_j(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right]$$

service channel in system  $S_c$  will be restored, number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease. Message from the system  $S_j$  will pass to system  $S_i$ ;

$$\Delta V_{ii}(\Delta t) = -r_{ji} + r_i \Delta t, \quad i, j, c, s = \overline{1, n}, \quad i \neq j, \quad i \neq c.$$

30) With probability

$$\left[ \gamma_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \left[ \beta_s(k_s(l)) u(k_s(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ \times \left[ \mu_j(k_j(l)) u(k_j(l)) p_{ji} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right] \times \\ \times \left[ 1 - \left\{ \lambda + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j, s}}^n [\mu_q(k_q(l)) + \beta_q(k_q(l)) + \gamma_q(k_q(l))] u(k_q(l)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + [\mu_i(k_i(l)) + \beta_i(k_i(l))] u(k_i(l)) + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))] u(k_s(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \right]$$

$$\left. +\beta_j(k_j(l))u(k_j(l)) + [\mu_s(k_s(l)) + \gamma_s(k_s(l))]u(k_s(l)) \right\} \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$$

service channel in system  $S_i$  will be restored, number of serviceable channels in system  $S_s$  will decrease. Message from the system  $S_j$  will pass to system  $S_i$ ;  
 $\Delta V_{ii}(\Delta t) = -g_i + r_{ji} + r_i \Delta t$ ,  $i, j, s = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

Let also suppose that RV  $R_{i0}$ ,  $r_{0i}$ ,  $R_{ij}$ ,  $r_{ji}$ ,  $g_i$  are independent with respect to RV  $r_i$ ,  $i, j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

Income of queueing system  $S_i$  can be presented as

$$V_i(t) = v_{i0} + \sum_{l=1}^m \Delta V_{il}(\Delta t)$$

Let us introduce denotations for proper mathematical expectations (m.e.):

$$\begin{aligned} M\{R_{ij}\} &= \int_0^{\infty} x dF_{ij}(x) = b_{ij}, \quad M\{r_{ji}\} = \int_0^{\infty} x dF_{2ji}(x) = a_{ji}, \quad i, j = \overline{1, n}, \\ M\{r_i\} &= \int_0^{\infty} x dF_i(x) = c_i, \quad M\{R_{i0}\} = \int_0^{\infty} x dF_{i0}(x) = b_{i0}, \\ M\{r_{0i}\} &= \int_0^{\infty} x dF_{0i}(x) = a_{0i}, \quad M\{g_i\} = \int_0^{\infty} x dH_i(x) = h_i, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

according to equality (1)

$$a_{ji} = b_{ji}, \quad i, j = \overline{1, n} \quad (3)$$

Let's finding approximate expression for the expected income of QS  $S_i$  at the moment  $t$ . Under fixed realization of the process  $k(t)$ , subject to probabilities of events, states of incomes change 1)-30) in QS  $S_i$  and denominations (2) we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} M\{\Delta V_{ii}(\Delta t) / k(l)\} &= \left( c_i + \lambda a_{0i} p_{0i} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ji} p_{ji} \mu_j(k_j(l)) u(k_j(l)) - \right. \\ &\left. - \mu_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ij} p_{ij} - h_i \gamma_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) \right) \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \quad i = \overline{1, n} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Allow that  $m\Delta t = t$  and equality (3), we will have

$$\begin{aligned} M\{V_i(t)/k(t)\} &= \sum_{l=1}^m M\{\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t)/k(l)\} = v_{i0} + (c_i + \lambda a_{0i} p_{0i})t + \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ji} p_{ji} \sum_{l=1}^m \mu_j(k_j(l)) u(k_j(l)) \Delta t - \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n b_{ij} p_{ij} \sum_{l=1}^m \mu_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) \Delta t - \\ &- h_i \sum_{l=1}^m \gamma_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \quad i = \overline{1, n} \end{aligned}$$

With  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^m \mu_j(k_j(l)) u(k_j(l)) \Delta t &\xrightarrow{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \mu_j(k_j(x)) u(k_j(x)) dx, \\ \sum_{l=1}^m \gamma_j(k_j(l)) u(k_j(l)) \Delta t &\xrightarrow{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \gamma_j(k_j(x)) u(k_j(x)) dx, \quad j = \overline{1, n} \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M\{V_i(t)/k(t)\} &= v_{i0} + (c_i + \lambda a_{0i} p_{0i})t + \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ji} p_{ji} \int_0^t \mu_j(k_j(x)) u(k_j(x)) dx - \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n b_{ij} p_{ij} \int_0^t \mu_i(k_i(x)) u(k_i(x)) dx - \\ &- h_i \int_0^t \gamma_i(k_i(x)) u(k_i(x)) dx, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \end{aligned}$$

Average the last relation by  $k(t)$  with taking to account normalization condition

$\sum_k P(k(t) = k) = 1$ , for expected income of system  $S_i$  we will obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(t) &= M\{V_i(t)\} = v_{i0} + \sum_k P(k(t) = k) M\{V_i(t)/k(t)\} = \\ &= v_{i0} + (c_i + \lambda a_{0i} p_{0i})t + \sum_k P(k(t) = k) \times \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ji} p_{ji} \int_0^t \mu_j(k_j(x)) u(k_j(x)) dx - \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n b_{ij} p_{ij} \int_0^t \mu_i(k_i(x)) u(k_i(x)) dx \right\} - \\ &- h_i \int_0^t \gamma_i(k_i(x)) u(k_i(x)) dx, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \end{aligned}$$

Let at the moment  $t$  system  $S_i$  contains  $d_i(t)$  serviceable channels,  $0 \leq d_i(t) \leq m_i$ , service rate of messages  $\mu_i$ , restoration rate of faulty channels  $\gamma_i$  in it linearly depend on messages number, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i(k_i(x))u(k_i(x)) &= \begin{cases} \mu_i k_i(x), & k_i(x) \leq d_i(x), \\ \mu_i d_i(x), & k_i(x) > d_i(x), \end{cases} = \\ &= \mu_i \min(k_i(x), d_i(x)), \quad i = \overline{1, n}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_i(k_i(x))u(k_i(x)) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_i k_i(x), & k_i(x) \leq (m_i - d_i(x)), \\ \gamma_i (m_i - d_i(x)), & k_i(x) > (m_i - d_i(x)), \end{cases} = \\ &= \gamma_i \min(k_i(x), (m_i - d_i(x))) \quad i = \overline{1, n} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Then from (5), (6) follows:

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(t) &= M \{V_i(t)\} = v_{i0} + (c_i + \lambda a_{0i} p_{0i})t + \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ji} p_{ji} \int_0^t \mu_j \min(k_j(x), d_j(x)) dx - \\ &- \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n b_{ij} p_{ij} \int_0^t \mu_i \min(k_i(x), d_i(x)) dx - \\ &- h_i \int_0^t \gamma_i \min(k_i(x), (m_i - d_i(x))) dx, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let us suppose that averaging of expression  $\min(k_i(x), d_i(x))$  brings  $\min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x))$ , i.e.

$$M \{ \min(k_i(x), d_i(x)) \} = \min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)),$$

$$M \{ \min(k_i(x), (m_i - d_i(x))) \} = \min(N_i(x), (m_i - \bar{d}_i(x))), \quad i = \overline{1, n},$$

where  $N_i(x) = M \{k_i(x)\}$  average number of messages (waiting and serving),  $\bar{d}_i(x) = M \{d_i(x)\}$  average number of serviceable channels in QS  $S_i$  on the time



interval  $[0, x]$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ . Then from (7) we will receive the following approximate expression:

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(t) = M\{V_i(t)\} = & v_{i0} + (c_i + \lambda a_{0i} p_{0i})t + \\ & + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \mu_j a_{ji} p_{ji} \int_0^t \min(N_j(x), \bar{d}_j(x)) dx - \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n \mu_j b_{ij} p_{ij} \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx - \\ & - \gamma_i h_i \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), (m_i - \bar{d}_i(x))) dx, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From (3) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \mu_j a_{ji} p_{ji} \int_0^t \min(N_j(x), \bar{d}_j(x)) dx = \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n b_{ij} p_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

expected income of queueing network can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} M\{W(t)\} = & \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ v_{i0} + (c_i + \lambda a_{0i} p_{0i})t - \right. \\ & \left. - \mu_i b_{i0} p_{i0} \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx - \gamma_i h_i \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), (m_i - \bar{d}_i(x))) dx \right] \end{aligned}$$

## 2. Variances of incomes of network systems

From (3) expression (8) can be written

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(t) = M\{V_i(t)\} = & v_{i0} + (c_i + \lambda a_{0i} p_{0i})t - \\ & - \mu_i b_{i0} p_{i0} \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx + \\ & + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left[ \mu_j a_{ji} p_{ji} \int_0^t \min(N_j(x), \bar{d}_j(x)) dx - \mu_i a_{ij} p_{ij} \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx \right] - \\ & - \gamma_i h_i \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), (m_i - \bar{d}_i(x))) dx, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Let us introduce denotations

$$\begin{aligned}
M\{R_{ij}^2\} &= b_{2ij}, \quad M\{r_{ji}^2\} = a_{2ji}, \quad i, j = \overline{1, n}, \\
M\{r_i^2\} &= c_{2i}, \quad M\{R_{i0}^2\} = b_{2i0}, \quad M\{r_{0i}^2\} = a_{20i}, \\
M\{g_i^2\} &= h_{2i}, \quad i = \overline{1, n},
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and will consider expression

$$\begin{aligned}
M\left\{\left(V_i(t) - v_{i0}\right)^2 / k(t)\right\} &= M\left\{\left(v_{i0} + \sum_{l=1}^m \Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) - v_{i0}\right)^2 / k(t)\right\} = \\
&= M\left\{\left(\sum_{l=1}^m \Delta V_{il}(\Delta t)\right)^2 / k(t)\right\} = \sum_{l=1}^m M\left\{\Delta V_{il}^2(\Delta t) / k(t)\right\} + \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq l}}^m M\left\{\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) \Delta V_{iq}(\Delta t) / k(t)\right\}, \quad i = \overline{1, n}
\end{aligned}$$

Considering incomes and probabilities of state 1)-30), descriptions (10) it is had

$$\begin{aligned}
M\left\{\Delta V_{il}^2(\Delta t) / k(t)\right\} &= \left[ \lambda a_{20i} p_{0i} + b_{2i0} \mu_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) p_{i0} + \right. \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left[ a_{2ji} \mu_j(k_j(l)) u(k_j(l)) p_{ji} + b_{2ij} \mu_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) p_{ij} \right] + \\
&\quad \left. + h_{2i} \gamma_i(k_i(l)) u(k_i(l)) \right] \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \quad i = \overline{1, n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Under fixed realization of the process  $k(t)$  values  $\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t)$ ,  $\Delta V_{iq}(\Delta t)$  are independent at  $l \neq q$ . Then using (3), (4) we can write

$$M\left\{\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) \Delta V_{iq}(\Delta t) / k(t)\right\} = o(\Delta t)^2 \tag{12}$$

With  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$  from (11), (12) and  $m\Delta t = t$

$$\begin{aligned}
M\left\{\left(V_i(t) - v_{i0}\right)^2 / k(t)\right\} &= \sum_{l=1}^m M\left\{\Delta V_{il}^2(\Delta t) / k(t)\right\} + \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq l}}^m M\left\{\Delta V_{il}(\Delta t) \Delta V_{iq}(\Delta t) / k(t)\right\} =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lambda a_{20i} p_{0i} t + \mu_i b_{2i0} p_{i0} \int_0^t \min(k_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx + \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left[ \mu_j a_{2ji} p_{ji} \int_0^t \min(k_j(x), \bar{d}_j(x)) dx + \mu_i b_{2ij} p_{ij} \int_0^t \min(k_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx \right] + \\
&+ \gamma_i h_{2i} \int_0^t \min(k_i(x), (m_i - \bar{d}_i(x))) dx, \quad i = \overline{1, n}
\end{aligned}$$

Average the receive relation by  $k(t)$  we will have

$$\begin{aligned}
M \left\{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0})^2 \right\} &= \lambda a_{20i} p_{0i} t + \mu_i b_{2i0} p_{i0} \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx + \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left[ \mu_j a_{2ji} p_{ji} \int_0^t \min(N_j(x), \bar{d}_j(x)) dx + \mu_i b_{2ij} p_{ij} \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx \right] + \\
&+ \gamma_i h_{2i} \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), (m_i - \bar{d}_i(x))) dx, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

Expression for  $M^2 \{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0}) \}$ , using (9), looks like

$$\begin{aligned}
M^2 \{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0}) \} &= \left\{ (c_i + \lambda a_{0i} p_{0i}) t - \mu_i b_{i0} p_{i0} \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx + \right. \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left[ \mu_j a_{ji} p_{ji} \int_0^t \min(N_j(x), \bar{d}_j(x)) dx - \mu_i b_{ij} p_{ij} \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), \bar{d}_i(x)) dx \right] - \\
&\left. - \gamma_i h_i \int_0^t \min(N_i(x), (m_i - \bar{d}_i(x))) dx \right\}^2, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

Expression for variance of income of QS  $S_i$  can be evaluated, using the formula  $DV_i(t) = D(V_i(t) - v_{i0}) = M \{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0})^2 \} - M^2 \{ (V_i(t) - v_{i0}) \}$  and (13), (14),  $i = \overline{1, n}$ .

We will note, for finding of values  $N_i(x)$ ,  $\bar{d}_i(x)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$  the method of diffusive approximation can be used. It will be made in next article.

## Conclusions

Thus, in current article the approximate expressions for expected incomes and variances of incomes of exponential HM-network in a case when incomes from transitions between network's states are random variables with the given moments of first two orders are received.

The further investigations in this area are associated with investigation of precision of the received expressions and distribution of the received results on a networks with other specialties.

## References

- [1] Koluzaeva E.V., Matalytski M.A., Analysis of incomes in open HM-networks of arbitrary architecture, *Westnik GrSU* 2008, 1, 22-29 (in Russian).
- [2] Matalytski M., Koluzaeva K., Analysis and optimization Markov HM-networks with stochastic incomes from transitions between their states, *Scientific Research of the Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Czestochowa University of Technology* 2008, 1(7), 51-62.
- [3] Matalytski M.A., Koluzaeva E.V., Investigation of Marcov networks with incomes and different-type messages of many classes by convergence method, *Papers of the National Academy of Science of Belarus, Minsk* 2008, 4, 113-118 (in Russian).
- [4] Matalytski M.A., Koluzaeva E.V., Marcov queueing networks of arbitrary structure with incomes, *Papers of the National Academy of Science of Belarus, Minsk* 2009, 53(3), 10-17 (in Russian).
- [5] Matalytski M.A., Statkevich S.E., Systems of the difference-differential equations for expected incomes of HM-network with unreliable queueing systems, *Probability Theory, Mathematical Statistic And Their Application, Minsk* 2010, 3, 233-238 (in Russian).
- [6] Statkevich S.E., Investigation of HM-network with unreliable queueing systems, *Westnik GrSU* 2010, 2, 31-40 (in Russian).