

## ON SOME PROPERTY OF THE MODIFIED POWER OF AN ALGEBRAIC NUCLEUS

*Grażyna Ciecierska*

*University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, grac@matman.uwm.edu.pl*

**Abstract.** Given two pairs  $(\mathcal{E}, X)$ ,  $(\Omega, Y)$  of conjugate linear spaces, we show that the modified power of an algebraic nucleus preserves  $(\Omega, X)$ - weak continuity of multilinear functionals. An application of the result in the determinant theory is also considered.

### Introduction

Algebraic nuclei play an essential role in the theory of determinant systems [1-4]. They allow to construct determinant systems for nuclear perturbations of Fredholm operators, i.e. if  $(D_n)_{n \in N \cup \{0\}}$  is a determinant system for Fredholm operator  $A \in op(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$ , then one can obtain effective formulae for determinant system for  $A + T_F$ , where  $F \in an(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$ . The terms  $D_n$ ,  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ , are, in particular, bi-skew symmetric multilinear  $(\Omega, X)$ - weakly continuous functionals. It is well known that functionals  $F^{pk} D_{n+k}$ ,  $k \in N$ , are bi-skew symmetric. We shall prove that the modified power of an algebraic nucleus transforms  $(\Omega, X)$ - weakly continuous functionals into  $(\Omega, X)$ - weakly continuous functionals. Therefore, in view of the result,  $F^{pk} D_{n+k}$  are also  $(\Omega, X)$ - weakly continuous functionals.

### 1. Terminology and notation

Let  $(\mathcal{E}, X)$ ,  $(\Omega, Y)$  denote pairs of conjugate linear spaces over the same real or complex field  $K$ . A bilinear functional  $A: \Omega \times X \rightarrow K$ , whose value at a point  $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X$  is denoted by  $\omega Ax$ , satisfying the condition  $\omega Ax = \omega(Ax) = (\omega A)x$ , where  $Ax \in Y$  and  $\omega A \in \mathcal{E}$ , is called  $(\mathcal{E}, Y)$ - operator on  $\Omega \times X$ . Let  $op(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$  denotes the space of  $(\mathcal{E}, Y)$ - operators on  $\Omega \times X$ . Each  $A \in op(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$  can simultaneously be interpreted as a linear operator  $A: X \rightarrow Y$  and as a linear operator  $A: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . For fixed non-zero elements

$x_0 \in X$ ,  $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $x_0 \cdot \omega_0$  denotes the bilinear functional on  $\mathcal{E} \times Y$ , defined by  $\xi(x_0 \cdot \omega_0)y = \xi x_0 \cdot \omega_0 y$  for  $(\xi, y) \in \mathcal{E} \times Y$ . A linear functional  $F: op(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega, Y \rightarrow X) \rightarrow K$  is called an *algebraic nucleus*, if there exists  $T_F \in op(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$  such that  $F(x \cdot \omega) = \omega T_F x$  for  $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X$ . The operator  $T_F$  is called a *nuclear operator determined by F*. The space of all algebraic nuclei on  $op(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega, Y \rightarrow X)$  is denoted by  $an(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$ . The value of a  $(\mu + m)$ -linear functional  $D: \mathcal{E}^\mu \times Y^m \rightarrow K$ ,  $\mu, m \in N \cup \{0\}$ , at a point  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\mu, y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathcal{E}^\mu \times Y^m$  is denoted by  $D \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_\mu \\ y_1, \dots, y_m \end{pmatrix}$ . A  $(\mu + m)$ -

linear functional  $D$  on  $\mathcal{E}^\mu \times Y^m$  is said to be *bi-skew symmetric* if it is skew symmetric in variables from both  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $Y$ . A  $(\mu + m)$ -linear functional  $D: \mathcal{E}^\mu \times Y^m \rightarrow K$  is said to be  $(\Omega, X)$ - *weakly continuous functional on  $\mathcal{E}^\mu \times Y^m$* , if for any fixed elements  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi_{i+1}, \dots, \xi_\mu \in \mathcal{E}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, \mu$ ),  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in Y$  there exists an element  $x_i \in X$  such that  $\xi x_i = D \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi, \xi_{i+1}, \dots, \xi_\mu \\ y_1, \dots, \dots, y_m \end{pmatrix}$  for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  and for any fixed elements  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\mu \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_m \in Y$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) there exists an element  $\omega_j \in \Omega$  such that  $\omega_j y = D \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_\mu \\ y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, y, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_m \end{pmatrix}$  for every  $y \in Y$ .

For  $F \in an(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$  and a bi-skew symmetric  $(\Omega, X)$ -weakly continuous functional  $D$  on  $\mathcal{E}^\mu \times Y^m$ , interpreted as a function of variables  $\xi_1, y_1$  only, we define a  $(\mu + m - 2)$ -linear functional  $F_{\xi_1 y_1} D$  on  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu-1} \times Y^{m-1}$  by the formula

$$(F_{\xi_1 y_1} D) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_2, \dots, \xi_\mu \\ y_2, \dots, y_m \end{pmatrix} = F(A_1), \text{ where } \xi_1 A_1 y_1 = D \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_\mu \\ y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{E}, y_1 \in Y$ .

If  $k = \min\{\mu, m\}$ , then assuming that  $F_{\xi_{k-1} y_{k-1}} F_{\xi_{k-2} y_{k-2}} \dots F_{\xi_1 y_1} D$  is  $(\Omega, X)$ -weakly continuous functional and interpreting it as a function of variables  $\xi_k, y_k$  only, we define a  $(\mu + m - 2k)$ -linear functional  $F_{\xi_k y_k} F_{\xi_{k-1} y_{k-1}} \dots F_{\xi_1 y_1} D$  on

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu-k} \times Y^{m-k} \text{ by } (F_{\xi_k y_k} F_{\xi_{k-1} y_{k-1}} \dots F_{\xi_1 y_1} D) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_\mu \\ y_{k+1}, \dots, y_m \end{pmatrix} = F(A_k),$$

where  $\xi_k A_k y_k = (F_{\xi_{k-1} y_{k-1}} F_{\xi_{k-2} y_{k-2}} \dots F_{\xi_1 y_1} D) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_\mu \\ y_k, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_m \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\xi_k \in \mathcal{E}, y_k \in Y$ .

Since for fixed  $F \in an(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$  and every permutation  $\tau$  of integers  $1, \dots, k$ ,  $F_{\xi_{\tau k} y_{\tau k}} \dots F_{\xi_{\tau 1} y_{\tau 1}} = F_{\xi_k y_k} \dots F_{\xi_1 y_1}$  [3], the common value of all  $F_{\xi_{\tau k} y_{\tau k}} \dots F_{\xi_{\tau 1} y_{\tau 1}}$  is denoted by  $\underbrace{F_{\square} \dots F_{\square}}_{k\text{-times}}$  [2]. Moreover,  $F^{\square k}$  denotes the *modified k-th power of a nucleus F*, i.e.  $F^{\square k} = \frac{1}{k!} \underbrace{F_{\square} \dots F_{\square}}_{k\text{-times}}$ .

## 2. Main theorem

Given  $F \in an(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$ ,  $B \in op(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega, Y \rightarrow X)$ , let  $T = T_F$  and  $(BT)^{(m)} = B(TB)^m$  for  $m \in N \cup \{0\}$ . Obviously,  $(BT)^{(m)} \in op(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega, Y \rightarrow X)$ . If  $m = m_1 + m_2 + 1$ ,  $m_1, m_2 \in N \cup \{0\}$ , then

$$\xi(BT)^{(m)} y = F_{\xi y'} \left( \xi'(BT)^{(m_1)} y \cdot \xi(BT)^{(m_2)} y' \right) \text{ for } (\xi, y) \in \mathcal{E} \times Y \quad (1)$$

$$\xi(BT)^m x = F_{\xi x'} \left( \xi'(BT)^{m_1} x \cdot \xi(BT)^{(m_2)} x' \right) \text{ for } (\xi, x) \in \mathcal{E} \times X \quad (2)$$

$$\omega(TB)^m y = F_{\omega y'} \left( \omega'(BT)^{(m_1)} y \cdot \omega(TB)^{m_2} y' \right) \text{ for } (\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y \quad (3)$$

**Lemma.** Let  $F \in an(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$ ,  $B \in op(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega, Y \rightarrow X)$ ,  $r = \min\{n', m'\}$ ,  $n', m' \in N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $z_1, \dots, z_{n'} \in X$ ,  $\varsigma_1, \dots, \varsigma_{m'} \in \Omega$ . If  $n \in N$  and  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_{n+n'-r})$ ,  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_{n+m'-r})$  are permutations of the integers  $1, \dots, n+n'-r$  and  $1, \dots, n+m'-r$ , respectively, then for every integer  $0 \leq k \leq \min\{n+n'-r, n+m'-r\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{\xi_1 y_1} \dots F_{\xi_k y_k} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-r} \xi_{p_i} B y_{q_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n'} \xi_{p_{n-r+i}} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{m'} \varsigma_i y_{q_{n-r+i}} \right) = \\ & = c_k \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for every  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+n'-r}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+m'-r}) \in \mathcal{E}^{n+n'-r} \times Y^{n+m'-r}$ , where  $c_k \in K$ ,  $n_k \leq \min\{n+n'-r-k, n+m'-r-k\}$ ,  $(m_i)_{i=1}^{n_k}$ ,  $(k_i)_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k}$ ,  $(l_i)_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k}$  are finite sequences of non-negative integers,  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n+n'-r-k})$ ,  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n+m'-r-k})$  are permutations of integers  $k+1, \dots, n+n'-r$  and  $k+1, \dots, n+m'-r$ , respectively.

Proof. Induction on  $k$  ( $k = 0, \dots, \min\{n+n'-r, n+m'-r\}$ ). Let  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+n'-r}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+m'-r}) \in \Xi^{n+n'-r} \times Y^{n+m'-r}$ . If  $k = 0$ , then (4) holds for  $c_0 = 1$ ,  $n_0 = n-r$ ,  $m_i = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n-r$ ),  $k_i = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n'$ ),  $l_i = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m'$ ),  $\sigma = p$ ,  $\tau = q$ . Suppose that (4) holds for  $k$  ( $0 \leq k < \min\{n+n'-r, n+m'-r\}$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{\xi_1 y_1} \dots F_{\xi_{k+1} y_{k+1}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-r} \xi_{p_i} B y_{q_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n'} \xi_{p_{n-r+i}} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{m'} \varsigma_i y_{q_{n-r+i}} \right) = \\ & = F_{\xi_{k+1} y_{k+1}} \left( c_k \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

In the case:  $\sigma_{i_0} = k+1$ ,  $\tau_{i_0} = k+1$ ,  $1 \leq i_0 \leq n_k$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{\xi_{k+1} y_{k+1}} \left( c_k \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} \right) = \\ & = c_k F_{\xi_{k+1} y_{k+1}} \left( \xi_{k+1} (BT)^{(m_{i_0})} y_{k+1} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} = \\ & = c_{k+1} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } c_{k+1} = c_k F \left[ (BT)^{(m_{i_0})} \right]$$

If  $\sigma_{i_1} = k+1$ ,  $\tau_{i_2} = k+1$ ,  $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n_k$ ,  $i_1 \neq i_2$ , then according to (1)

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{\xi_{k+1} y_{k+1}} \left( c_k \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} \right) = \\ & = c_k F_{\xi_{k+1} y_{k+1}} \left( \xi_{k+1} (BT)^{(m_{i_1})} y_{\tau_{i_1}} \cdot \xi_{\sigma_{i_2}} (BT)^{(m_{i_2})} y_{k+1} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, i_2}}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \right. \\ & \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} = \\ & = c_{k+1} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1, i_2}}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{k+1} = c_k \xi_{\sigma_{i_2}} (BT)^{(m_{i_1} + m_{i_2} + 1)} y_{\tau_{i_1}}$ .

If  $\sigma_{i_1} = k+1$ ,  $\tau_{n_k+i_2} = k+1$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 \leq n_k$ ,  $1 \leq i_2 \leq n+m'-r-k-n_k$ , then by (3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_{\xi_{k+1}y_{k+1}} \left( c_k \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} \right) = \\
& = c_k F_{\xi_{k+1}y_{k+1}} \left( \xi_{k+1} (BT)^{(m_{i_1})} y_{\tau_{i_1}} \cdot \varsigma_{i_2} (TB)^{l_{i_2}} y_{k+1} \right) \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1}}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \\
& \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_2}}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_2}}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} = \\
& = c_k \varsigma_{i_2} (TB)^{m_{i_1}+l_{i_2}+1} y_{\tau_{i_1}} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1}}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_2}}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\sigma_{n_k+i_1} = k+1$ ,  $\tau_{i_2} = k+1$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 \leq n+n'-r-k-n_k$ ,  $1 \leq i_2 \leq n_k$ , then by (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_{\xi_{k+1}y_{k+1}} \left( c_k \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} \right) = \\
& = c_k F_{\xi_{k+1}y_{k+1}} \left( \xi_{k+1} (BT)^{k_{i_1}} z_{i_1} \cdot \xi_{\sigma_{i_2}} (BT)^{(m_{i_2})} y_{k+1} \right) \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_2}}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \\
& \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1}}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} = \\
& = c_{k+1} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1}}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_2}}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{k+1} = c_k \varsigma_{i_2} (TB)^{m_{i_1}+l_{i_2}+1} y_{\tau_{i_1}}$ .

If  $\sigma_{n_k+i_1} = k+1$ ,  $\tau_{n_k+i_2} = k+1$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 \leq n+n'-r-k-n_k$ ,  $1 \leq i_2 \leq n+m'-r-k-n_k$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_{\xi_{k+1}y_{k+1}} \left( c_k \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} \right) = \\
& = c_k F_{\xi_{k+1}y_{k+1}} \left( \xi_{k+1} (BT)^{k_{i_1}} z_{i_1} \cdot \varsigma_{i_2} (TB)^{l_{i_2}} y_{k+1} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \\
& \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1}}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_2}}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \varsigma_i (TB)^{l_i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}} =
\end{aligned}$$

$$= c_{k+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_1}}^{n+n'-r-k-n_k} \xi_{\sigma_{n_k+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_2}}^{n+m'-r-k-n_k} \zeta_i (TB)^{i} y_{\tau_{n_k+i}},$$

where  $c_{k+1} = c_k \zeta_{i_2} (TB)^{i_2+k_{i_1}} Tz_{i_1}$ .

Let  $F \in an(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$ . It follows from Lemma that if  $(D_n)_{n \in N \cup \{0\}}$  is a determinant system for Fredholm operator  $A \in op(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$  of order  $r = \min\{n', m'\}$ ,  $n', m' \in N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $B \in op(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega, Y \rightarrow X)$  is a generalized inverse of  $A$ ,  $\{z_1, \dots, z_{n'}\}$ ,  $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{m'}\}$  are complete systems of solutions of equations,  $Ax = 0$  and  $\omega A = 0$ , respectively,  $n, k \in N \cup \{0\}$ , then for every  $0 \leq l \leq k$  and  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+n'-r}, \xi_{n+n'-r+l+1}, \dots, \xi_{n+n'-r+k}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+m'-r}, y_{n+m'-r+l+1}, \dots, y_{n+m'-r+k}) \in \Xi^{n+n'-r+k-l} \times Y^{n+m'-r+k-l}$ ,  $(F_{\xi_{n+n'-r+l} y_{n+m'-r+l}} \dots F_{\xi_{n+n'-r+l} y_{n+m'-r+l}} D_{n+k}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+n'-r}, \xi_{n+n'-r+l+1}, \dots, \xi_{n+n'-r+k} \\ y_1, \dots, y_{n+m'-r}, y_{n+m'-r+l+1}, \dots, y_{n+m'-r+k} \end{pmatrix}$  is a finite sum of expressions of the form

$$c_l \prod_{i=1}^{n_l} \xi_{\sigma_i} (BT)^{(m_i)} y_{\tau_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n+n'-r+k-l-n_l} \xi_{\sigma_{n_l+i}} (BT)^{k_i} z_i \prod_{i=1}^{n+m'-r+k-l-n_l} \zeta_i (TB)^{i} y_{\tau_{n_l+i}}$$

where  $c_l$  is a constant,  $n_l \leq \min\{n+n'-r+k-l, n+m'-r+k-l\}$ ,  $(m_i)_{i=1}^{n_l}$ ,  $(k_i)_{i=1}^{n+n'-r+k-l-n_l}$ ,  $(l_i)_{i=1}^{n+m'-r+k-l-n_l}$  are sequences of non-negative integers,  $\sigma, \tau$  are permutations of integers,  $1, \dots, n+n'-r$ ,  $n+n-r+l+1, \dots, n+n'-r+k$  and  $1, \dots, n+m'-r$ ,  $n+m'-r+l+1, \dots, n+m'-r+k$ , respectively.

Thus, in view of the above considerations, we obtain the following

**Theorem.** *If  $F \in an(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$ ,  $A \in op(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$  is a Fredholm operator of order  $r = \min\{n', m'\}$ ,  $n', m' \in N \cup \{0\}$ , and  $(D_n)_{n \in N \cup \{0\}}$  is a determinant system for  $A$ , then  $F^{\square k} D_{n+k}$ , where  $n, k \in N \cup \{0\}$ , is  $(\Omega, X)$ -weakly continuous functional on  $\Xi^{n+n'-r} \times Y^{n+m'-r}$ .*

## Conclusions

We have shown that the modified power  $F^{\square k}$  of an algebraic nucleus  $F \in an(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, X \rightarrow Y)$  preserves  $(\Omega, X)$ -weak continuity of terms of a determinant system for a given Fredholm operator. The result can be applied to a construction of determinant systems for nuclear perturbations of Fredholm operators.

**References**

- [1] Buraczewski A., *The determinant theory of generalized Fredholm operators*, Studia Math. 1963, 22, 265-307.
- [2] Buraczewski A., Sikorski R., *Analytic formulae for determinant systems in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 1980, 67, 85-101.
- [3] Sikorski R., *The determinant theory in Banach spaces*, Colloq. Math. 1961, 8, 141-198.
- [4] Leżański T., *The Fredholm theory of linear equations in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 1953, 13, 244-276.