

## THE SETS OF CERTAIN CLASSES IN GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES

*Tadeusz Konik*

*Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Czestochowa University of Technology*

**Abstract.** In this paper some property of sets of certain classes in the generalized metric spaces are considered. In last section of this paper an example of a certain set of these classes in two-dimensional Euklidian space will be given.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $E$  be a certain non-empty set and let  $l$  be any non-negative real function defined on the Cartesian product  $E_0 \times E_0$  of the family  $E_0$  of all non-empty subsets of the set  $E$ . The pair  $(E, l)$  we shall call the generalized metric space.

Let  $a, b$  be arbitrary non-negative real functions defined in a certain right-hand side neighbourhood of 0 such that

$$a(r) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b(r) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \quad (1)$$

By  $S_l(p, r)_{a(r)}$  and  $S_l(p, r)_{b(r)}$  we denote in this paper so-called  $a(r)$ ,  $b(r)$ -neighbourhoods of the sphere  $S_l(p, r)$  with the centre at the point  $p$  and the radius  $r$  in the space  $(E, l)$ .

We say that the pair  $(A, B)$  of sets of the family  $E_0$  is  $(a, b)$ -clustered at the point  $p$  of the space  $(E, l)$ , if 0 is the cluster point of the set of all numbers  $r > 0$  such that  $A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)} \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \cap S_l(p, r)_{b(r)} \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $k$  be any, but fixed positive real number, and let by the definition (see the paper [9]):

$T_l(a, b, k, p) = \{(A, B) : A, B \in E_0, \text{ the pair } (A, B) \text{ is } (a, b)\text{-clustered}$   
at the point  $p$  of the space  $(E, l)$  and

$$\frac{1}{r^k} l(A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)}, B \cap S_l(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0\} \quad (2)$$

The set  $T_l(a, b, k, p)$  defined by the formula (2) we call the relation of  $(a, b)$ -tangency of order  $k$  at the point  $p$  (shortly: the tangency relation) of sets in the generalized metric space  $(E, l)$ .

If  $(A, B) \in T_l(a, b, k, p)$ , then we say that the set  $A \in E_0$  is  $(a, b)$ -tangent of order  $k$  to the set  $B \in E_0$  at the point  $p$  of the space  $(E, l)$ .

We say (see [3]) that the set  $A \in E_0$  has the Darboux property at the point  $p$  of the generalized metric space  $(E, l)$ , and we shall write this as:  $A \in D_p(E, l)$ , if there exists a number  $\tau > 0$  such that  $A \cap S_l(p, r) \neq \emptyset$  for  $r \in (0, \tau)$ .

In this paper we shall consider certain problems concerning the tangency of sets of the classes  $\widetilde{M}_{p,k}$  having the Darboux property at the point  $p$  of the generalized metric spaces  $(E, l)$ , for  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_f$ . A certain theorem for the sets of these classes will be given here.

## 2. On a certain theorem

Let  $\rho$  be an arbitrary metric of the set  $E$ . We shall denote by  $d_\rho A$  the diameter of the set  $A \in E_0$ , and by  $\rho(A, B)$  the distance of sets  $A, B \in E_0$  in the metric space  $(E, \rho)$ .

Let  $f$  be any subadditive increasing real function defined in a certain right-hand side neighbourhood of 0, such that  $f(0) = 0$ .

By  $\mathfrak{F}_f$  we will denote the class of all functions  $l$  fulfilling the conditions:

- 1<sup>o</sup>  $l : E_0 \times E_0 \longrightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ ,
- 2<sup>o</sup>  $f(\rho(A, B)) \leq l(A, B) \leq f(d_\rho(A \cup B))$  for  $A, B \in E_0$ .

It is easy to check that every function  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_f$  generates in the set  $E$  the metric  $l_0$  defined by the formula:

$$l_0(x, y) = f(\rho(x, y)) \quad \text{for } x, y \in E \quad (3)$$

Let us put by definition (see [6])

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M}_{p,k} = \{ & A \in E_0 : p \in A' \text{ and there exists } \mu > 0 \text{ such that} \\ & \text{for an arbitrary } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that} \\ & \text{for every pair of points } (x, y) \in [A, p; \mu, k] \\ & \text{if } \rho(p, x) < \delta \text{ and } \frac{\rho(x, A)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \delta, \text{ then } \frac{\rho(x, y)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \varepsilon \} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $A'$  is the set of all cluster points of the set  $A \in E_0$  and

$$[A, p; \mu, k] = \{(x, y) : x \in E, y \in A \text{ and } \mu\rho(x, A) < \rho^k(p, x) = \rho^k(p, y)\} \quad (5)$$

**Theorem 1.** *If the set  $A \in E_0$  is  $(a, b)$ -tangent of order  $k$  to the set  $B \in E_0$  at the point  $p \in E$  for an arbitrary function  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_f$  and for every point  $x$  such*

that  $(x, y) \in [A, p; \mu, k]$  there exists a point  $\tilde{y} \in A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)}$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\rho(x, \tilde{y}) \leq \lambda \rho(x, A) \quad (6)$$

then  $A$  is the set of the class  $\widetilde{M}_{p,k}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(A, B) \in T_l(a, b, k, p)$  for  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_f$  and  $A, B \in E_0$ . From here, in particular, it follows that

$$(A, B) \in T_l(a, b, k, p) \quad \text{for } l \in \mathfrak{F}_{id} \text{ and } A, B \in E_0 \quad (7)$$

where  $id$  denotes the identity function defined in a certain right-hand side neighbourhood of 0. Because every function  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_{id}$  generates in the set  $E$  the metric  $\rho$  (see definition of the class  $\mathfrak{F}_f$ ), then from here and from (7) follows

$$\frac{1}{r^k} l(A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)}, B \cap S_l(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \quad (8)$$

Putting  $l = d_\rho$ , from (8) we get

$$\frac{1}{r^k} d_\rho((A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)}) \cup (B \cap S_l(p, r)_{b(r)})) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \quad (9)$$

Because

$$d_\rho(A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)}) \leq d_\rho((A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)}) \cup (B \cap S_l(p, r)_{b(r)}))$$

then from here, from (9) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{r^k} d_\rho(A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)}) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \quad (10)$$

From (10) it follows that for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{r^k} d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } 0 < r < \delta_1 \quad (11)$$

Now we shall prove that for every pair of points  $(x, y)$  of the set  $[A, p; \mu, k]$

$$\frac{\rho(x, y)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \varepsilon \quad (12)$$

if only

$$r = \rho(p, x) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\rho(x, A)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \delta \quad (13)$$

Let us put  $\mu = 1$  and  $\delta = \min(1, \frac{\varepsilon}{2\lambda}, \delta_1)$ . From here, from (6), (11) and from the triangle inequality we have

$$\frac{\rho(x, y)}{\rho^k(p, x)} \leq \frac{\rho(x, \tilde{y})}{\rho^k(p, x)} + \frac{\rho(\tilde{y}, y)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \frac{1}{r^k} d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p, r)_{a(r)}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

what means that  $A$  is the set of the class  $\widetilde{M}_{p,k}$ .

### 3. On a certain set of the class $\widetilde{M}_{p,k}$

In this Section we will give an example of a certain set of the class  $\widetilde{M}_{p,k}$  in two-dimensional Euklidean space, and will use Theorem 2.3 of the paper [7] for certain subsets of this set.

**Example 1.** Let  $E = \mathbf{R}^2$  be the two-dimensional Euclidean space. Let  $\varphi$  be a increasing function of the class  $C_1$  (homogenous function together with 1<sup>st</sup> derivative) defined in a certain right-hand side neighbourhood of 0 such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Using the de L'Hospital's theorem and mathematical induction for  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  we can easily prove that

$$\frac{\varphi^{k+1}(t)}{t^k} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \quad (14)$$

From this it follows immediately

$$\frac{\varphi^{2k+2}(t)}{t^{2k}} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \quad (15)$$

Let us put

$$C = \{(x, y) : x \geq 0, 0 \leq y \leq \varphi^{k+1}(x) \text{ and } k \in \mathbf{N}\} \quad (16)$$

We shall prove that  $C$  defined by the formula (16) is the set of the class  $\widetilde{M}_{p,k}$ , where  $p = (0, 0)$ . For this purpose let us denote

$$A = \{(t, 0) : t \geq 0\} \text{ and } B = \{(t, \varphi^{k+1}(t)) : t \geq 0, k \in \mathbf{N}\} \quad (17)$$

Let  $y_1, y_2$  be a points of the set  $C$  such that

$$y_1 \in A \cap S_\rho(p, r), \quad y_2 \in B \cap S_\rho(p, r) \text{ for } r > 0 \quad (18)$$

If according to (17) and (18) we put  $y_2 = (t, \varphi^{k+1}(t))$ , then

$$r = \rho(p, y_2) = \sqrt{t^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)} \quad (19)$$

Hence it follows that  $y_1 = (\sqrt{t^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)}, 0)$ . From (19) and from the properties of the function  $\varphi$  it results also that  $r \rightarrow 0^+$  if and only if  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . Hence and from the conditions (14), (15), (19) for  $r > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{r^{2k}}\rho^2(y_1, y_2) &= \frac{(\sqrt{t^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)} - t)^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)}{(t^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t))^k} \\
&= 2 \frac{t^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t) - t\sqrt{t^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)}}{(t^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t))^k} \\
&= 2 \frac{\varphi^{2k+2}(t) + t^2 - t\sqrt{t^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)}}{t^{2k}} \frac{1}{(1 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)/t^2)^k} \\
&\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} 2 \left( \frac{\varphi^{2k+2}(t)}{t^{2k}} + \frac{t - \sqrt{t^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)}}{t^{2k-1}} \right) \\
&= 2 \left( \frac{\varphi^{2k+2}(t)}{t^{2k}} - \frac{\varphi^{2k+2}(t)}{t^{2k-1}(\sqrt{t^2 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)} + t)} \right) \\
&= 2 \left( \frac{\varphi^{2k+2}(t)}{t^{2k}} - \frac{\varphi^{2k+2}(t)}{t^{2k}(\sqrt{1 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)/t^2} + 1)} \right) \\
&= 2 \frac{\varphi^{2k+2}(t)}{t^{2k}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \varphi^{2k+2}(t)/t^2}} \right) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \frac{\varphi^{k+1}(t)}{t^k} \right)^2 \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} 0
\end{aligned}$$

what means that

$$\frac{1}{r^k}d_\rho(C \cap S_\rho(p, r)) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad (20)$$

From here it follows that for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{r^k}d_\rho(C \cap S_\rho(p, r)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } 0 < r < \delta_1 \quad (21)$$

Now we shall prove that for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that for every pair of points  $(x, y_1) \in [A, p; \mu, k]$

$$\frac{\rho(x, y_1)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (22)$$

when

$$r = \rho(p, x) < \delta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\rho(x, A)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \delta_2 \quad (23)$$

Let  $y'_1$  be a projection of the point  $x \in E$  at the set  $A$ , i.e., such point of the set  $A$  that  $\rho(x, y'_1) = \rho(x, A)$ . Because  $x = (t, \pm\sqrt{r^2 - t^2})$  for  $0 \leq t < r$ , then

$$\rho(y'_1, y) = r - t = \sqrt{(r-t)^2} \leq \sqrt{(r+t)(r-t)} = \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} = \rho(x, y'_1)$$

that is to say,

$$\rho(y'_1, y) \leq \rho(x, A) \quad (24)$$

Let  $\mu = 2$ ,  $\delta_2 = \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$ . Hence, from (23), (24) and from the triangle inequality we have

$$\frac{\rho(x, y_1)}{\rho^k(p, x)} \leq \frac{\rho(x, y'_1) + \rho(y'_1, y)}{\rho^k(p, x)} \leq \frac{2\rho(x, A)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

which yields the inequality (22).

Lastly we shall prove that for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta_3 > 0$  such that for every pair of points  $(x, y_2) \in [B, p; \mu, k]$

$$\frac{\rho(x, y_2)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (25)$$

if only

$$r = \rho(p, x) < \delta_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\rho(x, B)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \delta_3 \quad (26)$$

From the properties of the function  $\varphi$  it follows that

$$(\varphi^{k+1}(t))'|_{t=0} = 0 \quad (27)$$

what means that the set  $B$  is tangent to the axis  $x$  at the point  $p$ . From here it follows that in a certain right-hand side neighbourhood of 0 the function  $y = \varphi^{k+1}(t)$  is a convex function. Let  $y'_2$  be a projection of the point  $x \in E$  at the set  $B$ , i.e., such point of the set  $B$  that  $\rho(x, y'_2) = \rho(x, B)$ . Let  $L$  be a tangent line to the set  $B$  at the point  $y'_2$ , and let  $y \in L \cap S_\rho(p, r)$ , where  $S_\rho(p, r)$  denotes the sphere with the centre at the point  $p \in E$  and the radius  $r > 0$  in the metric space  $(E, \rho)$ . From here, on the base of the inequality (24), it follows that

$$\rho(y'_2, y) \leq \rho(x, y'_2) \leq \rho(x, B) \quad (28)$$

Hence and from the triangle inequality we get

$$\rho(x, y_2) \leq \rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x, y'_2) + \rho(y'_2, y) \leq 2\rho(x, B) \quad (29)$$

Putting  $\mu = 2$ ,  $\delta_3 = \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$ , from the inequality (29) we obtain

$$\frac{\rho(x, y_2)}{\rho^k(p, x)} \leq \frac{2\rho(x, B)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

which yields the inequality (25).

Let  $\mu = 2$ ,  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$  and let  $(x, y)$  be an arbitrary pair of points belonging to the set  $[C, p; \mu, k]$ . In this example:  $\rho(x, C) = \rho(x, A)$ , or  $\rho(x, C) = \rho(x, B)$ , or  $x \in C$ .

Let us suppose that  $\rho(x, C) = \rho(x, A)$ . From here, from the triangle inequality, from (21) and (22) it follows that for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every pair of points  $(x, y) \in [C, p; \mu, k]$ , if

$$r = \rho(p, x) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\rho(x, C)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \delta$$

then

$$\frac{\rho(x, y)}{\rho^k(p, x)} \leq \frac{\rho(x, y_1)}{\rho^k(p, x)} + \frac{\rho(y, y_1)}{\rho^k(p, x)} \leq \frac{\rho(x, y_1)}{\rho^k(p, x)} + \frac{1}{r^k} d_\rho(C \cap S_\rho(p, r)) < \varepsilon \quad (30)$$

Similarly, if  $\rho(x, C) = \rho(x, B)$  then from here, from the triangle inequality, from (21) and (25) it follows that for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every pair of points  $(x, y) \in [C, p; \mu, k]$ , if

$$r = \rho(p, x) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\rho(x, C)}{\rho^k(p, x)} < \delta$$

then

$$\frac{\rho(x, y)}{\rho^k(p, x)} \leq \frac{\rho(x, y_2)}{\rho^k(p, x)} + \frac{\rho(y, y_2)}{\rho^k(p, x)} \leq \frac{\rho(x, y_2)}{\rho^k(p, x)} + \frac{1}{r^k} d_\rho(C \cap S_\rho(p, r)) < \varepsilon \quad (31)$$

If  $x \in C$ , then from (21) it follows immediately that for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every pair of points  $(x, y) \in [C, p; \mu, k]$

$$\frac{\rho(x, y)}{\rho^k(p, x)} \leq \frac{1}{r^k} d_\rho(C \cap S_\rho(p, r)) < \varepsilon \quad (32)$$

when

$$r = \rho(p, x) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\rho(x, C)}{\rho^k(p, x)} = 0 < \delta$$

Hence, from (30) and (31) it follows that the set  $C$  defined by the formula (16) belongs to the class  $\widetilde{M}_{p,k}$ .

Evidently, the set  $C$  of the form (16) has the Darboux property at the point  $p$  of the metric space  $(E, \rho)$ . From the above it follows that  $C \in \widetilde{M}_{p,k} \cap D_p(E, \rho)$ .

Because the sets  $A, B$  defined by the formula (17) have the Darboux property at the point  $p$  of the space  $(E, l)$ , and are subsets of the set  $C \in \widetilde{M}_{p,k}$ ,

then from here and from Theorem 2.3 of the paper [7] it follows that the set  $A$  is  $(a, b)$ -tangent of order  $k$  ( $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ) to the set  $B$  at the point  $p$  of the space  $(E, l)$ , when  $l \in \mathfrak{F}_f$ , and the functions  $a, b$  fulfil the condition

$$\frac{a(r)}{r^k} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b(r)}{r^k} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad (33)$$

## References

- [1] Chądzyńska A., On some classes of sets related to the symmetry of the tangency relation in a metric space, *Ann. Soc. Math. Polon., Comm. Math.* 1972, 16, 219-228.
- [2] Gołąb S., Moszner Z., Sur le contact des courbes dans les espaces metriques généraux, *Colloq. Math.* 1963, 10, 105-311.
- [3] Konik T., On the reflexivity symmetry and transitivity of the tangency relations of sets of the class  $\widetilde{M}_{p,k}$ , *J. Geom.* 1995, 52, 142-151.
- [4] Konik T., On some tangency relation of sets, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 1999, 55/3-4, 411-419.
- [5] Konik T., On the compatibility and the equivalence of the tangency relations of sets of the classes  $A_{p,k}^*$ , *J. Geom.* 1998, 63, 124-133.
- [6] Konik T., On the sets of the classes  $\widetilde{M}_{p,k}$ , *Demonstratio Math.* 2000, 33(2), 407-417.
- [7] Konik T., On sets of some classes and their tangency, *Scientific Research of the Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science of Czestochowa University of Technology PPAM* 2003, 1(2), 61-68.
- [8] Waliszewski W., On the tangency of sets in a metric space, *Colloq. Math.* 1966, 15, 127-131.
- [9] Waliszewski W., On the tangency of sets in generalized metric spaces, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 1973, 28, 275-284.